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On the Galois group of generalized Laguerre polynomials

par Farshid HAJIR

À Georges Gras, à l’occasion de son 60ème anniversaire

Abstract. Using the theory of Newton Polygons, we formulate a simple criterion for the Galois group of a polynomial to be “large.” For a fixed $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q} - \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$, Filaseta and Lam have shown that the $n$th degree Generalized Laguerre Polynomial $L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n+\alpha}{n-j} (-x)^j / j!$ is irreducible for all large enough $n$. We use our criterion to show that, under these conditions, the Galois group of $L_n^{(\alpha)}(x)$ is either the alternating or symmetric group on $n$ letters, generalizing results of Schur for $\alpha = 0, 1, \pm \frac{1}{2}, -1 - n$.

1. Introduction

It is a basic problem of algebra to compute the Galois group of a given irreducible polynomial over a field $K$. If we order the monic degree $n$ polynomials over $\mathbb{Z}$ by increasing height, then the proportion which consists of irreducible polynomials with Galois group $S_n$ tends to 1; for a more precise statement, see for example Gallagher [6]. Nevertheless, to prove that the Galois group of a given polynomial of degree $n$ is $S_n$ can be difficult if $n$ is large. The algorithmic aspects of Galois group computations have witnessed a number of recent advances, for which an excellent reference is the special issue [18] of the Journal of Symbolic Computation, especially...
the forward by Matzat, McKay, and Yokoyama. Currently, for rational polynomials of degree up to 15, efficient algorithms are implemented, for instance, in GP-PARI and MAGMA. An important piece of any such algorithm is the collection of data regarding individual elements of the Galois group, for which the standard method is to factor the polynomial modulo various “good” primes (i.e. those not dividing its discriminant), obtaining the cycle-type of the corresponding Frobenius conjugacy classes in the Galois group.

Our first goal in this paper is to formulate a criterion which exploits the behavior of a given polynomial at “bad” primes for bounding the size of its Galois group from below. The criterion is especially efficacious if one suspects that a “medium size” prime (roughly between $n/2$ and $n$) is wildly ramified in the splitting field of the polynomial. The criterion we give (Theorem 2.2) follows quite simply from the theory of $p$-adic Newton Polygons; it is used in slightly less general form in Coleman [2] and is reminiscent of, but distinct from, a criterion of Schur [21, §1].

Our second goal is to illustrate the utility of the criterion by using it to calculate the Galois group for specializations of a certain one-parameter family of polynomials, which we now introduce. In the second volume of their influential and classic work [20], Pólya and Szegő define the Generalized Laguerre Polynomial (GLP)

$$L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n + \alpha}{n-j} \frac{(-x)^j}{j!}.$$  

The special case $\alpha = 0$ had appeared much earlier in the work of Abel [1, p. 284] and Laguerre [17], and the general case can in fact be found in Sonin [24, p. 41]. Shortly after the publication of [20], the study of the algebraic properties of this family of orthogonal polynomials was initiated by Schur [21], [22].

For instance, for the discriminant of the monic integral polynomial

$$L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) := (-1)^n n! L_n^{(\alpha)}(x),$$

we have the following formula of Schur [22]:

$$\Delta_n^{(\alpha)} = \prod_{j=2}^{n} j^j (\alpha + j)^{j-1}. \tag{1.1}$$

In particular, if $\alpha$ is not in $[-n, -2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $L_n^{(\alpha)}(x)$ has no repeated roots. For $\alpha = 0, \pm 1/2, 1$, Schur [21], [22] established the irreducibility of all $L_n^{(\alpha)}(x)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, and also showed that their Galois groups are as large as possible, namely $A_n$ if $\Delta_n^{(\alpha)}$ is a rational square, and $S_n$ otherwise.
A number of recent articles on the algebraic properties of GLP have appeared, including Feit [3], Coleman [2], Gow [9], Filaseta-Williams [5], Filaseta-Lam [4], Sell [23], Hajir [10], [11], and Hajir-Wong [12]. In particular, we have the following theorem of Filaseta and Lam [4] on the irreducibility of GLP.

**Theorem.** (Filaseta-Lam) If \( \alpha \) is a fixed rational number which is not a negative integer, then for all but finitely many integers \( n \), \( L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) \) is irreducible over \( \mathbb{Q} \).

In this paper, we provide a complement to the theorem of Filaseta and Lam by computing the Galois group of \( L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) \) when \( n \) is large with respect to \( \alpha \in \mathbb{Q} - \mathbb{Z}_{<0} \). Namely, we prove the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** Suppose \( \alpha \) is a fixed rational number which is not a negative integer. Then for all but finitely many integers \( n \), the Galois group of \( L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) \) is \( A_n \) if \( \Delta_n^{(\alpha)} \) is a square and \( S_n \) otherwise.

**Remarks.**
1. The hypothesis that \( \alpha \) not be a negative integer is necessary, as in that case, \( L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) \) is divisible by \( x \) for \( n \geq |\alpha| \). For a study of the algebraic properties of \( L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) \) for \( \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}, n < |\alpha| \), see [10], [23] and [11]. In the latter work, Theorem 2.2 is used to prove that \( A_n \) is contained in the Galois group of \( L_n^{(-n-r)} \) for all large enough (with respect to \( n \)) positive integers \( r \).
2. Using a different set of techniques, the following companion to Theorem 1.1 is proved in [12]: If we fix \( n \geq 5 \) and a number field \( K \), then for all but finitely many \( \alpha \in K \), \( L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) \) is irreducible and has Galois group \( A_n \) or \( S_n \) over \( K \). For each \( n \leq 4 \), infinitely many \( \mathbb{Q} \)-reducible specializations exist, and for \( n = 4 \), there are infinitely many specializations which are irreducible but have \( D_4 \)-Galois group over \( \mathbb{Q} \), cf. [11, Section 6].
3. For integral \( \alpha \), some cases where \( \Delta_n^{(\alpha)} \) is a square (giving Galois group \( A_n \)) are
   - \( \alpha = 1 \) and \( n \equiv 1 \) (mod 2) or \( n + 1 \) is an odd square ([22]),
   - \( \alpha = n \), and \( n \equiv 2 \) (mod 4) ([9], it is not yet known if all of these polynomials are irreducible [5]),
   - \( \alpha = -1 - n \), and \( n \equiv 0 \) (mod 4) ([21], [2]),
   - \( \alpha = -2 - n \), and \( n \equiv 1 \) (mod 4) ([10]),
   - \( \alpha = -3 - n \), and \( n + 1 \) is an odd square ([23]).
4. The proofs of the Filaseta-Lam Theorem in [4] and of Theorem 1.1 are both effective.
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2. A criterion for having large Galois group

2.1. Newton Polygons. Let $K$ be a field equipped with a discrete valuation $v$ and a corresponding completion $K_v$. We assume $v$ is normalized, i.e. $v(K^*) = \mathbb{Z}$, and employ the same letter $v$ to denote an extension of this valuation to an algebraic closure $\overline{K_v}$ of $K_v$.

For a polynomial $f(x) = a_nx^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0 \in K[x]$ with $a_0a_n \neq 0$, the $v$-adic Newton Polygon of $f(x)$, denoted $NP_v(f)$, is defined to be the lower convex hull of the set of points

$$S_v(f) = \{(0, v(a_0)), (1, v(a_1)), \ldots, (n, v(a_n))\}.$$ 

It is the highest polygonal line passing on or below the points in $S_v(f)$. The points where the slope of the Newton polygon changes (including the rightmost and leftmost points) are called the corners of $NP_v(f)$; their $x$-coordinates are the breaks of $NP_v(f)$.

For the convenience of the reader, we recall the main theorem about $v$-adic Newton Polygons; for a proof see, for instance, Gouvêa [8]. A very nice survey of the uses of the Newton Polygon for proving irreducibility is Mott [19]. For generalizations to several variables, see Gao [7] and references therein. For a recent paper on using Newton Polygons to compute Galois groups, see Kölle-Schmid [15].

**Theorem 2.1** (Main Theorem of Newton Polygons). Suppose $f(x) \in K[x]$ is not divisible by $x$. Let $(x_0, y_0), (x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_r, y_r)$ denote the successive vertices of $NP_v(f)$, with slopes $m_i = (y_i - y_{i-1})/(x_i - x_{i-1})$. Then there exist polynomials $f_1, \ldots, f_r$ in $K_v[x]$ such that

i) $f(x) = f_1(x)f_2(x) \cdots f_r(x)$,

ii) for $i = 1, \ldots, r$, the degree of $f_i$ is $x_i - x_{i-1}$,

iii) for $i = 1, \ldots, r$, all the roots of $f_i$ in $\overline{K_v}$ have $v$-adic valuation $-m_i$.

2.2. Newton Index. We now suppose that $K$ is a fixed global field, i.e. $K$ is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ (number field case) or of $\mathbb{F}(T)$, where $\mathbb{F}$ is a finite field (function field case). A global field $K$ enjoys the property that for a given element $\alpha \in K$, $v(\alpha) = 0$ for all but finitely many valuations $v$ of $K$.

**Definition.** Given $f \in K[x]$ and $\lambda$ a linear polynomial in $K[x]$, we define the $v$-**Newton Index** of $f$ at $\lambda$, $N_v(f, \lambda)$, to be the least common multiple of the denominators (in lowest terms) of all slopes of $NP_v(f \circ \lambda)$. The Newton
Index of $f$ over $K$, $\mathcal{N}(f)$, is defined to be the least common multiple of all $\mathcal{N}_v(f, \lambda)$ as $v$ runs over the non-archimedean places of $K$ and $\lambda$ runs over the linear polynomials in $K[x]$.

Some comments on this definition are in order. It is clear that, for each $v$ and $\lambda$, $\mathcal{N}_v(f, \lambda)$ is a divisor of $\text{lcm}(1, 2, \ldots, n)!$ where $n$ is the degree of $f$, hence the same is true for $\mathcal{N}(f)$. Thus, the Newton index of $f$ over $K$ is a well-defined divisor of $n!$. It is not clear from its definition, however, that it is effectively computable; we make some comments on this in the following Lemma. For the applications we have in mind, it will suffice to look for a single suitable pair $v, \lambda$ such that $\mathcal{N}_v(f, \lambda)$ is divisible by a prime $p \in (n/2, n - 2)$, but we have formalized the concept of Newton Index in order to demonstrate the possibilities and limitations of this approach for future applications.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $K_f$ be a splitting field for $f$ over $K$, and let $R_f$ be the (finite) set of non-archimedean places of $K$ that ramify in $K_f$. Then

$$\mathcal{N}(f) = \text{lcm}_{v \in R_f} \text{lcm}_{b \in K_v} \mathcal{N}_v(f, x + b).$$

**Proof.** Let us first note that for any linear $K[x]$-polynomial $\lambda$, $K_f$ is a splitting field for $f \circ \lambda$. Now, if an integer $d > 1$ divides the denominator of some slope of $NP_v(f \circ \lambda)$, then $v$ is ramified in $K_f/K$ (see the proof of Theorem 2.2). This shows that $\mathcal{N}(f)$ is the least common multiple of $\mathcal{N}_v(f, \lambda)$ as $v$ runs over $R_f$ and $\lambda$ runs over linear $K[x]$-polynomials.

It remains only to show that for each $v$, we can restrict this to the least common multiple over monic $K_v[x]$-polynomials $\lambda$. To see this, suppose $\lambda(x) = ax + b$ and $\lambda_1(x) = x + b$ (with $a \in K^*$, $b \in K$) so that $(f \circ \lambda_1)(ax) = (f \circ \lambda_1)(ax)$. Let $h = f \circ \lambda_1$ and $g = f \circ \lambda$. Note that if $h(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n b_j x^j$ and $g(x) = h(ax) = \sum_{j=0}^n b_j' x^j$, then the set of slopes of $NP_v(g)$ is simply a shift of that of $NP_v(h)$ by $v(a)$, because for all $0 \leq j < k \leq n$,

$$\frac{v(b_j') - v(b_k')}{j - k} = v(a) + \frac{v(b_j) - v(b_k)}{j - k}.$$

Since $v(K^*) = \mathbb{Z}$, it follows that the slopes of $NP_v(h)$ and $NP_v(g)$ have the same denominators. Thus, $\mathcal{N}_v(f, \lambda) = \mathcal{N}_v(f, \lambda_1)$, giving the desired conclusion. \hfill \Box

According to the preceding Lemma, we would have an effective procedure for calculating $\mathcal{N}(f)$ if we could solve the following problem.

**Problem.** Give an algorithm for calculating

$$\mathcal{N}_v(f) := \text{lcm}_{b \in K_v} \mathcal{N}_v(f, x + b).$$
We now formulate a criterion for an irreducible polynomial to have "large" Galois group. The key idea appears in Coleman’s computation [2] of the Galois group of the $n$th Taylor polynomial of the exponential function, which incidentally is the GLP $(-1)^n \ell^{(-1-n)}(x)$.

**Theorem 2.2.** Suppose $K$ is a global field and $f(x)$ is an irreducible polynomial in $K[x]$. Then $\mathcal{N}_f$ divides the order of the Galois group of $f$ over $K$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{N}_f$ has a prime divisor $\ell$ in the range $n/2 < \ell < n - 2$, where $n$ is the degree of $f$, then the Galois group of $f$ contains $A_n$, in which case, this Galois group is $A_n$ if $\text{disc}(f)$ is a square in $K^*$ and $S_n$ otherwise.

**Proof.** Suppose $v$ is a valuation of $K$, $\lambda$ is a linear $K[x]$-polynomial, and $q$ is an arbitrary divisor of the denominator of some slope $s$ of $NP_v(g)$ where $g = f \circ \lambda$. Clearly, $g$ is irreducible and has the same Galois group as $f$. It suffices to show that $q$ divides the order of the Galois group of $g$ over $K$. By Theorem 2.1, there exists a root $\alpha \in K_v$ of $g$ with valuation $-s$. Since $q$ divides the denominator of $s$, $q$ divides the ramification index $e$ of $K_v(\alpha)/K_v$ ([8], Proposition 5.4.2). But $e$ divides the degree $[K_v(\alpha) : K_v]$, which in turn divides the order of the Galois group of $g$ over $K_v$, hence also over $K$. If $q = \ell$ is a prime in the interval $(n/2, n - 2)$, then the Galois group of $g$ contains an $\ell$-cycle, so it must contain $A_n$ by a theorem of Jordan [14] (or see, for instance, Hall’s book [13, Thm 5.6.2 and 5.7.2]).

It is well-known that the Galois group over $K$ of an irreducible polynomial of degree $n$ is contained in $A_n$ if and only if its discriminant is a square in $K^*$.

**Remark.** Schur proved a similar result ([21, §1, III]), namely, if the discriminant of a number field $K$ of degree $n$ is divisible by $p^n$, then the Galois closure $L$ of $K$ has degree $[L : Q]$ divisible by $p$. In general, if $p$ divides the discriminant of an irreducible polynomial $f$, it is not easy to determine the $p$-valuation of the discriminant of the stem field $Q[x]/(f)$; thus, each of Theorem 2.2 and Schur’s criterion can be useful depending on whether we have information about the discriminant of the field or that of the defining polynomial. Neither criterion is useful when the discriminant of $f$ is square-free, for example, since in that case, all the non-trivial ramification indices are 2. On the other hand, over base field $Q$, irreducible polynomials with square-free discriminant also have Galois group $S_n$ see e.g. Kondo [16]: the proof of this fact uses the triviality of the fundamental group of $Q$.

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We now let $K = Q$. For a prime $p$, we write $NP_p$ in place of $NP_v$ where $v = \text{ord}_p$ is the $p$-adic valuation of $Q$. 
Lemma 3.1. Suppose $p$ is a prime in the interval $(n/2, n-2)$, and $f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j} c_j x^j \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ is an irreducible polynomial of degree $n$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ with $p$-integral coefficients, i.e. $\text{ord}_p(c_j) \geq 0$ for $j = 0, \ldots, n$. Suppose further that

i) $\text{ord}_p(c_0) = 1$,

ii) $\text{ord}_p(c_j) \geq \text{ord}_p(c_0)$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-p$,

iii) $\text{ord}_p(c_p) = 0$.

Then, $p$ divides the order of the Galois group of $f$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Indeed, this Galois group is $A_n$ if $\text{disc}(f) \in \mathbb{Q}^* \setminus 2$ and $S_n$ otherwise.

Proof. It is easy to check that $\binom{n}{j}$ is divisible by $p$ if and only if $n/p + 1 \leq j \leq p$. The given assumptions then guarantee that $(0, \text{ord}_p(c_0))$ and $(p, 0)$ are the first two corners of $NP_p(f)$. Therefore, $-\text{ord}_p(c_0)/p$ is a slope of $NP_p(f)$. It follows from i) that $p | N_f$, and we are done by Theorem 2.2.

Remark. It is easy to see that the Lemma holds for $p \in (1 + n/2, n-2)$ if we replace i) with i’) $1 \leq \text{ord}_p(c_0) \leq p/(2n + 1)$.

We are now ready to prove the Main Theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We write $\alpha = \lambda/\mu$ in lowest terms, i.e. with $\mu \geq 1$ and $\gcd(\lambda, \mu) = 1$. By assumption, $\alpha$ is not a negative integer. We will work with the normalized (monic, integral) polynomial

$$ f(x) = \mu^n n! L_n^{(\lambda/\mu)} \left( -\frac{x}{\mu} \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j} (n\mu + \lambda)((n-1)\mu + \lambda) \cdots ((j+1)\mu + \lambda)x^j. $$

We wish to apply Lemma 3.1 to it, so we let

$$ c_j = \prod_{k=j+1}^{n} (k\mu + \lambda), \quad 0 \leq j \leq n, $$

and seek an appropriate prime $p$, i.e. one satisfying the conditions of the Lemma.

By a suitably strong form of Dirichlet’s theorem on primes in arithmetic progressions, there exists an effective constant $D(\mu)$ such that if $x \geq D(\mu)$ and $h \geq x/(2 \log^2 x)$, the interval $[x-h, x]$ contains a prime in the congruence class $\lambda \mod \mu$ (see Filaseta-Lam [4, p. 179]). Taking $x = n-3 \geq D(\mu)$, we find that for some integer $\ell \in [1, n]$, $p = \mu \ell + \lambda$ is a prime satisfying

$$ \frac{n\mu + \mu + \lambda}{\mu + 1} \leq p \leq n-3, $$

as long as

$$ \frac{1 - 3/n}{2 \log^2(n-3)} + \frac{3 + (\mu + \lambda)/(\mu + 1)}{n} \leq \frac{1}{\mu + 1}, $$
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which clearly holds for all \( n \) large enough with respect to \( \lambda, \mu \).

We now fix a prime \( p = \mu \ell + \lambda \) satisfying (3.2). For such a prime \( p \), let us check the hypotheses of Lemma 3.1. We have \( (n\mu + \mu + \lambda)/(\mu + 1) > n/2 \) if and only if

\[
(3.3) \quad n(\mu - 1) > -2\mu - 2\lambda.
\]

Since \( \alpha \) is not a negative integer, if \( \mu = 1 \), then \( \lambda \geq 0 \), so (3.3) holds for all \( n \). If \( \mu > 1 \), we simply need to take \( n > -2(\mu + \lambda)/(\mu - 1) \) in order to achieve \( n/2 < p < n - 2 \). Our \( c_j \) are integral so our polynomial is \( p \)-integral for every prime \( p \).

Before we discuss the \( p \)-adic valuations of the coefficients \( c_j \), let us note that in the congruence class \( \lambda \mod \mu \), the smallest multiple of \( p \) larger than \( p \) is \( (\mu + 1)p \), and, similarly, the largest multiple of \( p \) in this congruence class which is less than \( p \) is \( (\mu + 1)p \). Now we claim that, for \( n \) large enough, we have

\[
(3.4) \quad (-\mu + 1)p < \lambda + \mu
\]

as well as

\[
(3.5) \quad \lambda + \mu n < (\mu + 1)p.
\]

Indeed, if \( \mu = 1 \), then (3.4) holds for all \( n \), while for \( \mu \geq 2 \), \( n \geq -2\lambda \) implies (3.4); moreover, (3.5) is a direct consequence of (3.2). From (3.4), (3.5) and (3.1), we then read off that \( \text{ord}_p(c_j) = 1 \) for \( 0 \leq j \leq \ell - 1 \), and \( \text{ord}_p(c_j) = 0 \) for \( \ell \leq j \leq n \). One easily checks that (3.4) and (3.5) give exactly \( p > \ell - 1 \) and \( n - p < \ell \), i.e. conditions ii) and iii) of Lemma 3.1 hold. By Filaseta-Lam [4], there is an effectively computable constant \( N(\alpha) \) such that \( f(x) \) is irreducible for \( n \geq N(\alpha) \). Thus, all the conditions of Lemma 3.1 hold, and the proof of the theorem is complete. \( \square \)
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