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Dihedral and cyclic extensions with large class numbers

par Peter J. CHO et Henry H. KIM

Abstract. This paper is a continuation of [2]. We construct unconditionally several families of number fields with large class numbers. They are number fields whose Galois closures have as the Galois groups, dihedral groups $D_n$, $n = 3, 4, 5$, and cyclic groups $C_n$, $n = 4, 5, 6$. We first construct families of number fields with small regulators, and by using the strong Artin conjecture and applying some modification of zero density result of Kowalski-Michel, we choose subfamilies such that the corresponding $L$-functions are zero free close to 1. For these subfamilies, the $L$-functions have the extremal value at $s = 1$, and by the class number formula, we obtain large class numbers.

1. Introduction

This paper is a continuation of [2]. Let $\mathcal{F}(n, G, r_1, r_2)$ be the set of number fields of degree $n$ with signature $(r_1, r_2)$ whose normal closures have $G$ as their Galois group. In [2], we constructed families of number fields with the largest possible class numbers belonging to $\mathcal{F}(5, S_5, 1, 2)$, $\mathcal{F}(4, S_4, 2, 1)$,
\( \mathcal{A}(4, S_4, 0, 2) \) and \( \mathcal{A}(4, A_4, 0, 2) \). In this paper, we construct families of number fields with large class numbers whose Galois closures have as Galois groups, dihedral groups \( D_n, n = 3, 4, 5 \), and cyclic groups \( C_n, n = 4, 5, 6 \).

The idea is the same as in [2]. Namely, we use the class number formula; the class number \( h_{K_t} \) for \( K_t \in \mathcal{A}(n, G, r_1, r_2) \) is given by

\[
h_{K_t} = \frac{w_{K_t}|d_{K_t}|^2}{2^{r_1}(2\pi)^{r_2}R_{K_t}}L(1, \rho_t),
\]

where \( w_{K_t} \) is the number of roots of unity in \( K_t \), \( d_{K_t} \) is the discriminant of \( K_t \) and \( R_{K_t} \) is its regulator and \( L(s, \rho_t) = \frac{\zeta_{K_t}(s)}{\zeta(s)} \) is the Artin L-function.

We first construct a family of number fields \( K_t \) with small regulators, and then find a subfamily for which \( L(1, \rho_t) \gg (\log \log |d_{K_t}|)^{n-1} \). However, in our cases, \( \rho_t \) is no longer irreducible, and hence it is no longer attached to a cuspidal automorphic representation. We need to modify the result of [14] to isobaric automorphic representations. See section 3.

In section 4, we use the quintic polynomial considered by Schöpp [22] and Lavallee-Spearman-Williams-Yang [15] who showed that they give rise to number fields whose Galois closures has \( D_5 \) as the Galois group, and computed fundamental units when they are of signature (1,2). We prove that they give rise to regular Galois extensions over \( \mathbb{Q}(t) \), and we compute regulators and show that they have the largest class numbers.

In section 5, we use the family of quartic polynomials considered by Nakamula [19] who showed that they give rise to number fields whose Galois closures have \( D_4 \) as the Galois group, and computed regulators. We prove that they give rise to regular Galois extensions over \( \mathbb{Q}(t) \), and show that they have large class numbers. We conjecture that they have the largest possible class numbers.

In section 6, we prove that the cubic extensions considered by Ishida [11] have the largest possible class numbers. This family is different from the one considered by Daileda [5].

In section 7, we consider totally real cyclic extensions. They are sometimes called simplest fields. We prove a very general result that a cyclic extension of prime degree generated by a unit always has the smallest regulator. We use the result in [7] that if the cyclic extension is given by a polynomial \( f(x, t) \) of degree \( p \) with the constant term \( \pm 1 \), then any \( p - 1 \) roots are multiplicatively independent. However, it is no longer true for composite degree. Cyclic quintic fields were studied by Lehmer [17], Jeannin [12], and Schoof-Washington [21]. We compute the regulators and prove that they have the largest possible class numbers. Cyclic quartic fields were studied by Lazarus [16], and cyclic sextic fields by Gras [9]. They determined fundamental units. We compute the regulators and prove that they have large class numbers.
When \( n = 4, 6 \), due to the existence of subfields, our regulator bounds are greater than Silverman’s bounds [25]. However, we conjecture that in the case of regular Galois extensions, our bounds are sharp. (see Remark 7.3.)

2. Representations of dihedral groups

Let’s review irreducible representations of \( D_n \): If \( n \) is odd, \( D_n = < a, x : a^n = x^2 = e, xax = a^{-1} > \). Let \( H = \{ 1, x \} \). Then irreducible representations of \( D_n \) are: 2 one-dimensional representations \( 1, \chi \), and \( \frac{n-1}{2} \) two-dimensional representations \( \rho_1, \cdots, \rho_{\frac{n-1}{2}} \), where \( \chi \) is the character of \( H \). We have \( Ind_H^G 1 = 1 + \rho_1 + \cdots + \rho_{\frac{n-1}{2}} \).

If \( n \) is even, \( D_n = < a, x : a^n = x^2 = e, xax = a^{-1} > \). Let \( H_1 = \{ 1, x \}, H_2 = \{ 1, a^n \}, H_3 = \{ 1, a^n x \} \) be three order 2 subgroups. Then irreducible representations of \( D_n \) are: 4 one-dimensional representations \( 1, \chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3 \), and \( \frac{n-2}{2} \) two-dimensional representations \( \rho_1, \cdots, \rho_{\frac{n-2}{2}} \), where \( \chi_i \) is the character of \( H_i \). We have, for each \( i \), \( Ind_{H_i}^G 1 = 1 + \chi_i + \rho_1 + \cdots + \rho_{\frac{n-2}{2}} \).

Let \( K/\mathbb{Q} \) be a degree \( n \) extension and \( \hat{K}/\mathbb{Q} \) be the Galois closure such that \( \text{Gal}(\hat{K}/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq D_n \). Then if \( n \) is odd,

\[
\frac{\zeta_K(s)}{\zeta(s)} = L(s, \rho_1) \cdots L(s, \rho_{\frac{n-1}{2}}).
\]

If \( n \) is even,

\[
\frac{\zeta_K(s)}{\zeta(s)} = L(s, \chi)L(s, \rho_1) \cdots L(s, \rho_{\frac{n-2}{2}}),
\]

where \( H = \text{Gal}(\hat{K}/K) \) is one of the order 2 subgroups of \( D_n \), and \( \chi \) is the non-trivial character of \( H \).

3. Approximation of \( L(1, \rho) \) and zero-free region

We use the following result of Daileda [5] to obtain a bound for \( L(1, \rho) \): Let \( \rho \) be an \( l \)-dimensional complex representation of a Galois group. We assume \( L(s, \rho) \) is an entire Artin \( L \)-function and \( N \) is its conductor. Also \( L(s, \rho) \) has a Dirichlet series

\[
L(s, \rho) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(n)n^{-s}.
\]

Proposition 3.1. [5] Let \( L(s, \rho) \) and \( N \) be as above. Let \( \frac{6}{7} < \alpha < 1 \). Suppose that \( L(s, \rho) \) is zero-free in the rectangle \([\alpha, 1] \times [-\log N, \log N] \). If \( N \) is sufficiently large, then for any \( 0 < k < \frac{16}{1-\alpha} \),

\[
\log L(1, \rho) = \sum_{p \leq (\log N)^k} \lambda(p)p^{-1} + O_{l,k,\alpha}(1).
\]
This implies immediately that $L(1, \rho) \ll (\log \log N)^l$ since $|\lambda(p)| \leq l$ and $\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} \sim \log \log x$.

Due to lack of GRH, we cannot use the above result directly. We extend the result of Kowalski-Michel to isobaric automorphic representations of $GL(n)$.

Let $n = n_1 + \cdots + n_r$, and let $S(q)$ be a set of isobaric representations $\pi = \pi_1 \boxplus \pi_2 \cdots \boxplus \pi_r$, where $\pi_j$ is a cuspidal automorphic representation of $GL(n_j)/\mathbb{Q}$ and satisfies the Ramanujan-Petersson conjecture at the finite places. We assume that for $\pi, \pi' \in S(q)$, $\pi_j \not\equiv \pi'_j$ for each $j$. Moreover, $S(q)$ holds the following conditions:

(1) There exists $e > 0$ such that for $\pi = \pi_1 \boxplus \pi_2 \cdots \boxplus \pi_r \in S(q)$, $\text{Cond}(\pi_1) \cdots \text{Cond}(\pi_r) \leq q^e$,

(2) There exists $d > 0$ such that $|S(q)| \leq q^d$.

(3) The $\Gamma$ factors of $\pi_j$ are of the form $\prod_{k=1}^{n_j} \Gamma(\frac{s}{2} + \alpha_k)$, where $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let, for $\alpha \geq \frac{3}{4}, T \geq 2$,

$$N(\pi; \alpha, T) = \{|\rho : L(\rho, \pi) = 0, \text{Re} \rho \geq \alpha, |\text{Im} \rho| \leq T\}|,$$

(zeros counted with multiplicity). Then clearly, $N(\pi; \alpha, T) = N(\pi_1; \alpha, T) + \cdots + N(\pi_r; \alpha, T)$.

**Theorem 3.1.** For some $B \geq 0$,

$$\sum_{\pi \in S(q)} N(\pi; \alpha, T) \ll T^B q^c_0 \frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha-1}.$$

One can choose any $c_0 > c_0'$, where $c_0' = \frac{5n'e}{2} + d$ and $n' = \max\{n_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq r}$.

**Proof.** Let $S(q)_j$ be the set of the cuspidal automorphic representations consisting of the $j$-th component of $\pi$. Since $\pi_j \not\equiv \pi'_j$ for each $j$, $|S(q)_j| = |S(q)|$ for all $j = 1, 2, \cdots, r$. Then clearly, $\text{Cond}(\pi_j) \leq q^e$ and $|S(q)_j| \leq q^d$.

So

$$\sum_{\pi \in S(q)} N(\pi; \alpha, T) = \sum_{\pi \in S(q)} \sum_{j=1}^{r} N(\pi_j; \alpha, T) = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \sum_{\pi_j \in S(q)_j} N(\pi_j; \alpha, T).$$

Now we apply the result of Kowalski-Michel [14] to the inner sum. They assumed that the Gamma factors of $\pi_j$ are the same. However, the assumption is used only to obtain the convexity bound (Lemma 10 of [14]), and our $\Gamma$-factors provide the same convexity bound. Hence our result follows. $\square$

In the following, we apply the above result to a family of Artin $L$-functions. In this case, the $\Gamma$-factors are a product of $\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})$ and $\Gamma(\frac{s+1}{2})$. 
4. $D_5$ extension with signature $(1, 2)$

We use a polynomial in [22] for the case of $D_5$ extension;

$$f(x, t) = x^5 - tx^4 + (2t - 1)x^3 - (t - 2)x^2 - 2x + 1.$$ 

Its discriminant is $(4t^3 - 28t^2 + 24t - 47)^2$ and the signature is $(1, 2)$ for $t \leq 6$. (There is a minor mistake in [22]. The discriminant of $f(x, t)$ is given by $16(4t^3 - 28t^2 + 24t - 47)^2$ in [22]. But it does not affect his result.) Let $\theta_t$ be a root of $f(x, t)$. Schöpp found the fundamental units in the equation order $\mathbb{Z}[[t]]$. More precisely, he shows

**Theorem 4.1** (Schöpp). The elements $\theta_t, \theta_t - 1$ form a system of independent units in the order $\mathbb{Z}[[t]]$. Moreover, they are fundamental units in $\mathbb{Z}[[t]]$ for $t \leq 6$.

However, Schöpp could not show that $\mathbb{Z}[[t]]$ is the maximal order of $\mathbb{Q}(\theta_t)$. Lavallee, Spearman, Williams and Yang [15] found a parametric family of quintics with a power integral basis. The parametric polynomial $F_b(x)$ is given by

$$F_b(x) := x^5 - 2x^4 + (b + 2)x^3 - (2b + 1)x^2 + bx + 1, b \in \mathbb{Z}.$$ 

and its discriminant is $(4b^3 + 28b^2 + 24b + 47)^2$.

They showed that when $4b^3 + 28b^2 + 24b + 47$ is square-free, then the field $\mathbb{Q}(\theta_b)$ generated by a root $\theta_b$ of $F_b(x)$ has a power integral basis $\mathbb{Z}[\theta_b]$. Since $x^5F_{-t} \left(\frac{1}{x}\right) = f(x, t)$, this implies that $\theta_t, \theta_t - 1$ are fundamental units of $\mathbb{Q}(\theta_t)$ when $4t^3 - 28t^2 + 24t - 47$ is square-free.

Schöpp found the locations of roots of $f(x, t)$.

**Lemma 4.1** (Schöpp). Let $\theta_t^{(1)}$ be the real root and let $\theta_t^{(2)} = \theta_t^{(3)}, \theta_t^{(4)} = \theta_t^{(5)}$ be the pairs of complex roots of $f(x, t)$. Then we have the following approximations:

\begin{align*}
(i) \quad & -t + 2 + \frac{2}{t} < |\theta_t^{(1)}| < -t + 2 + \frac{1}{t} \quad \text{for} \quad t < -4\\
(ii) \quad & -t + 3 + \frac{2}{t} < |\theta_t^{(1)}| - 1 < -t + 3 + \frac{1}{t} \quad \text{for} \quad t < -4\\
(iii) \quad & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-t}} < |\theta_t^{(2)}| < \frac{2}{\sqrt{-t}} \quad \text{for} \quad t < -4\\
(iv) \quad & \sqrt{1 - \frac{3}{16t}} < |\theta_t^{(2)} - 1| < \sqrt{1 - \frac{6}{5t}} \quad \text{for} \quad t < -144\\
(v) \quad & \sqrt{1 + \frac{3}{t}} < |\theta_t^{(4)}| < \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{15t}} \quad \text{for} \quad t < -174\\
(vi) \quad & \sqrt{-\frac{5}{6t}} < |\theta_t^{(4)}| - 1 < \sqrt{-\frac{14}{13t}} \quad \text{for} \quad t < -139.
\end{align*}

Let $K_t$ be the quintic field by adjoining $\theta_t^{(1)}$ to $\mathbb{Q}$. Since we know the absolute value of roots, it is easy to show that the regulator $R_{K_t}$ of a quintic field $K_t$ is

$$R_{K_t} \ll (\log d_{K_t})^2.$$
when $4t^3 - 28t^2 + 24t - 47$ is square-free.

We claim that $f(x, t)$ gives rise to a regular $D_5$ extension over $\mathbb{Q}(t)$, i.e., if we consider $f(x, t)$ as a polynomial over $\mathbb{Q}(t)$ and $E$ is the splitting field, then $E \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q}$. This is equivalent to the fact that $Gal(E\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}(t)) \simeq Gal(E/\mathbb{Q}(t))$.

By [13], page 41, the Weber sextic resolvent of $f(x, t)$ is

$$G(z) = (z^3 + b_4 z^2 + b_2 z + b_0)^2 - 2^{10}(4t^3 - 28t^2 + 24t - 47)^2 z,$$

where $b_4 = -4t^2 - 4t + 37, b_2 = 64t^3 - 312t^2 + 328t + 115, b_0 = 64t^3 - 2884t^2 + 4348t - 249$. It factors as

$$G(z) = (z - 4t^2 + 12t - 9) \times (z^5 - (4t^2 + 20t - 83)z^4$$
\[
+ (128t^3 - 368t^2 - 816t + 2346)z^3
- (1024t^4 - 7040t^3 + 16536t^2 + 3448t - 29126)z^2
+ (2048t^4 + 51072t^3 - 201328t^2 + 18640t + 256933)z
- (1024t^4 - 89216t^3 + 1948548t^2 - 231404t + 6889)) \).$$

Therefore, the Galois group of $f(x, t)$ over $\mathbb{Q}(t)$ and $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(t)$ is either $D_5$ or $C_5$. In order to distinguish it, we use the criterion in [13], page 42. Namely, the Galois group is $C_5$ if and only if the resolvent $R(x_1 - x_2, f(x, t))(X)$ factors into irreducible polynomials of degree 5. Here

$$R(x_1 - x_2, f(x, t))(X) = X^{-5}Res(f(Y - X, t), f(Y, t))$$
\[
= (X^{10} + X^8(-2t^2 + 12t - 8) + X^6(t^4 - 12t^3 + 46t^2 - 56t - 4)
+ X^4(-2t^4 + 16t^3 - 8t^2 - 112t + 127)
+ X^2(t^4 - 60t^2 + 128t + 6) - 4t^3 + 28t^2 - 24t + 47)
\times (X^{10} + X^8(-2t^2 + 8t - 2) + X^6(t^4 - 8t^3 + 20t^2 - 4t + 7)
+ X^4(-2t^4 + 36t^2 - 4t + 41)
+ X^2(t^4 - 4t^3 + 66t^2 - 36t + 103) - 4t^3 + 28t^2 - 24t + 47) \).$$

It is clear that the above factors cannot be factored into irreducible polynomials of degree 5. Hence the Galois group of $f(x, t)$ over both $\mathbb{Q}(t)$ and $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(t)$ is $D_5$.

From now on, we replace $t$ by $-t$ in $f(x, t)$ because we want that $t$ varies in positive integers. Then the discriminant of $f(x, t)$ become $(4t^3 + 28t^2 + 24t + 47)^2$. Let $\hat{K}_t$ be the Galois closure of $K_t$ and $Gal(\hat{K}_t/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq D_5$. Then

$$\frac{\zeta_{K_1}(s)}{\zeta(s)} = L(s, \rho_\ell) = L(s, \sigma_{t,1})L(s, \sigma_{t,2}),$$
Large class numbers

where \( \rho_t = \sigma_{t,1} \oplus \sigma_{t,2} \) and \( \sigma_{1,t} \) and \( \sigma_{2,t} \) are the 2-dimensional representations of \( D_5 \). Now \( D_5 \) has the cyclic subgroup \( C_5 \) of order 5 and let \( M_t \) be the fixed field by \( C_5 \). Then \( \sigma_{1,t}, \sigma_{2,t} \) are induced by non-trivial characters for \( \hat{K}_t/M_t \). Hence the Artin conductors of \( \sigma_1 \) and \( \sigma_2 \) equal \( |d_{M_t}|N_{M_t/Q}(b_t) \) where \( b_t \) is the Artin conductor of nontrivial characters of \( C_5 \). Since \( d_{K_t} = (4t^3 + 28t^2 + 24t + 47)^2 = (|d_{M_t}|N_{M_t/Q}(b_t))^2 \) for \( 4t^3 + 28t^2 + 24t + 47 \) square-free, the Artin conductors of \( \sigma_{1,t}, \sigma_{2,t} \) are both \( 4t^3 + 28t^2 + 24t + 47 \). Hence, the Hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied.

By Serre’s observation (see p.45 in [23]), there exists a constant \( c_f \) depending only on \( f \) such that for every prime \( q \geq c_f \), there is an integer \( t_q \) with \( q \) splits completely in \( K_t \) for all \( t \equiv t_q \) mod \( q \).

Now for given \( X \gg 1 \), define \( y = \frac{\log X}{\log \log X} \) and \( M = \prod_{c_f \leq q \leq y} q \). Let \( t_M \) be an integer such that \( t_M \equiv t_q \) modulo \( q \) for all \( c_f \leq q \leq y \). Here \( \log M \sim y \), and hence \( M \ll X^\epsilon \) for any \( \epsilon > 0 \).

Since discriminant \( \text{discf}(x,t) \) of \( f(x, t) \) is a polynomial of degree 6, there is a constant \( C > 0 \) such that \( \text{discf}(x, t) < Ct^6 \). Now we define a set \( L(X) \) of square-free integers,

\[
L(X) = \{ \frac{X}{2} < t < X \mid 4t^3 + 28t^2 + 24t + 47 \text{ square-free}, t \equiv t_M \text{ mod } M \}.
\]

Let \( t = Mm + t_M \), and let \( h(m) = 4(Mm + t_M)^3 + 28(Mm + t_M)^2 + 24(Mm + t_M) + 47 \). It is a cubic polynomial. By [10], page 69, \( |L(X)| = \beta \frac{X}{2M} + O\left(\frac{X}{M(\log \frac{X}{2M})^2}\right) \) for some constant \( \beta \). Hence \( |L(X)| \gg X^{1-\epsilon} \). By the construction of \( L(X) \), every \( t \in L(X) \) gives rise to a distinct automorphic \( L \)-function \( L(s, \rho_t) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \lambda_t(n)n^{-s} \) of \( GL(4)/Q \) with \( \lambda_t(q) = 4 \) for all \( c_f \leq q \leq y \).

By the above argument, we have

\[
X^{1-\epsilon} \ll |L(X)| \ll X.
\]

We apply Theorem 3.1 to the family \( L(X) \) with \( n' = 2, T = (\log CX^6)^2 \), \( e = 6 \) and \( d = 1 \). Let \( c_0 = 31 \). Choose \( \alpha \) with \( c_0 \frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha-1} < \frac{98}{100} \). Then every \( L(s, \rho_t) \) in \( L(X) \) excluding exceptional \( O(X^{98/100}) \) \( L \)-functions is zero free in the rectangle \( [\alpha, 1] \times [-(\log d_{K_t})^2, (\log d_{K_t})^2] \). Applying Proposition 3.1 to \( L(s, \rho_t) \), we have

\[
\log L(1, \rho_t) = \sum_{q \leq (\log d_{K_t})^{1/2}} \lambda(q)q^{-1} + O(1) = \sum_{c_f \leq q \leq (\log d_{K_t})^{1/2}} 4q^{-1} + O(1) = 4 \log \log d_{K_t} + O(1),
\]

where we used the fact that \( (\log d_{K_t})^{1/2} \leq y = \frac{\log X}{\log \log X} \) for large \( X \). So we have \( L(1, \rho_t) \gg (\log d_{K_t})^4 \). By the class number formula and the size of
regulator \( R_{K_t} \), we have the required result

\[ h_{K_t} \gg d_{K_t}^{1/2} \left( \frac{\log \log d_{K_t}}{\log d_{K_t}} \right)^4. \]

We summarize our result as follows:

**Theorem 4.2.** There is a constant \( c > 0 \) such that there exist \( K \in \mathcal{R}(5, D_5, 1, 2) \) with arbitrarily large discriminant \( d_K \) for which

\[ h_K > c d_K^{1/2} \left( \frac{\log \log d_K}{\log d_K} \right)^4. \]

**Remark 4.1.** When \( t > 7 \), \( f(x, t) = x^5 - tx^4 + (2t - 1)x^3 - (t - 2)x^2 - 2x + 1 \) gives rise to a totally real extension \( K_t \) whose Galois closure has \( D_5 \) as the Galois group. In this case, numerical calculation shows that the regulator of \( K_t \) is quite large: If \( t = 10^3 \), \( R_{K_t} \sim 8 \times 10^7 \); if \( t = 10^4 \), \( R_{K_t} \sim 2.3 \times 10^{10} \); if \( t = 10^5 \), \( R_{K_t} \sim 9.3 \times 10^{13} \).

5. \( D_4 \) extension

Nakamula [19] constructed quartic fields with small regulators whose Galois closures have \( D_4 \) as the Galois group. We prove that Nakamula’s family of quartic fields have large class numbers. We conjecture that they have the largest possible class numbers. (See Remark 7.3.) Nakamula uses a polynomial with 3 parameters

\[ f = x^4 - sx^3 + (t + 2u)x^2 - usx + 1 \]

where \( (s, t, u) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \{ \pm 1 \} \), \( (s, t, u) \neq (1, -1, 1) \).

The discriminant \( D_f \) of \( f \) is given by

\[ D_f = D_1^2 D_2 \]

with \( D_1 = s^2 - 4t \), \( D_2 = (t + 4u)^2 - 4us^2 \).

For a zero \( \epsilon \) of \( f \) with \( |\epsilon| \geq 1 \), we define \( \alpha := \epsilon + u \epsilon^{-1} \). Put

\[ K = \mathbb{Q}(\epsilon), \quad F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D_1}), \quad L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D_2}), \quad M = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D_1 D_2}) \]

Then \( F = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \subseteq K = F(\epsilon) = F(\sqrt{\alpha^2 - 4u}) \).

With signs of \( D_1 \) and \( D_2 \) we can determine the signature of \( K \). More precisely,

**Lemma 5.1.** [19] Assume \( F \neq \mathbb{Q} \) and \( L \neq \mathbb{Q} \). Then \( K \) is a non-CM quartic field with a quadratic subfield \( F \), and \( |\epsilon| > 1 \). If \( F = L \), then \( K \) is cyclic over \( \mathbb{Q} \). If \( F \neq L \), then \( K \) is non-Galois over \( \mathbb{Q} \), and the composite \( MK \) is dihedral over \( \mathbb{Q} \) and cyclic over \( M \). Moreover

\[
\begin{align*}
(r_1, r_2) &= (0, 2) \quad \text{if } D_1 < 0 \\
(r_1, r_2) &= (2, 1) \quad \text{if } D_2 < 0 \\
(r_1, r_2) &= (4, 0) \quad \text{otherwise.}
\end{align*}
\]

Moreover, if \( F \neq L \), \( d_F = D_1 \) and \( d_L = D_2 \), then \( d_K = D_f \).
Note that if $K$ is not totally complex, the quadratic subfield $F$ is real.

Let $\hat{K} = MK$ be the Galois closure of $K$ and $G = Gal(\hat{K}/\mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphic to $D_4$. Then $G$ has a subgroup $H$ isomorphic to $C_2$ such that $\hat{K}^H = K$. Let $Ind_H^G 1_H = 1 + \rho$ be the induced representation of $G$ by the trivial representation of $H$ where $\rho$ is a 3-dimensional representation of $D_4$. Here $\rho$ is no longer irreducible but a sum of the non-trivial 1-dimensional representation $\chi$ and the 2-dimensional representation $\psi$ of $D_4$. Since $\psi$ is modular, $\rho$ is modular. We can check easily that the Artin conductor of $\chi$ is the absolute value of discriminant $d_F$ of the quadratic subfield $F$ of $K$ and the Artin conductor of $\rho$ equals to $|d_K|/d_F$.

Then

$$L(s, \rho) = L(s, \chi)L(s, \psi) = \frac{\zeta_K(s)}{\zeta(s)}.$$  

5.1. $D_4$ extension with the signature $(0,2)$. We specify that $s = u = 1$. Then we have, for positive integer $t$,

$$f(x, t) = x^4 - x^3 + (t + 2)x^2 - x + 1$$

with $D_1 = 1 - 4t$, $D_2 = t^2 + 8t + 12$. If $D_1, D_2$ are square-free for odd integer $t$, $D_K$ equals $(1 - 4t)^2(t + 2)(t + 6)$. For a positive integer $t$, $D_1$ is negative, by Lemma 5.1, $(r_1, r_2) = (0,2)$ and $MK/\mathbb{Q}$ is a $D_4$ Galois extension.

Nakamula estimated the regulator $R_K$ of the field $K$.

$$R_K = \frac{1}{4} \log \frac{d_K}{16} + o(1) \text{ as } d_K \to \infty.$$  

To show that $f(x, t)$ gives rise to a regular $D_4$ extension, we briefly recall how to determine the Galois group of a quartic polynomial over an arbitrary field $F$ in [3], page 358. We write a quartic polynomial $f$ in the form

$$f = x^4 - c_1x^3 + c_2x^2 - c_3x + c_4$$

and we define the Ferrari resolvent of $f$ to be

$$\theta_f(y) = y^3 - c_2y^2 + (c_1c_3 - 4c_4)y - c_3^2 - c_1^2c_4 + 4c_2c_4.$$  

**Theorem 5.1.** Let $F$ have characteristic $\neq 2$, and $f \in F[x]$ be monic and irreducible of degree 4. Then Galois group of $f$ over $F$ is determined as follows:

(a) If $\theta_f(y)$ is irreducible over $F$, then

$$G = \begin{cases} S_4, & \text{if } disc(f) \notin F^2 \\ A_4, & \text{if } disc(f) \in F^2 \end{cases}$$

(b) If $\theta_f(y)$ splits completely over $F$, then $G \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.
(c) If $\theta_f(y)$ has a unique root $\beta$ in $F$, then $G$ is isomorphic to

$$
\begin{cases}
D_4, & \text{if } 4\beta + c_1^2 - 4c_2 \neq 0 \text{ and } \text{disc}(f)(4\beta + c_1^2 - 4c_2) \notin (F^*)^2 \\
C_4, & \text{or } 4\beta + c_1^2 - 4c_2 = 0 \text{ and } \text{disc}(f)(\beta^2 - 4c_4) \notin (F^*)^2
\end{cases}
$$

The Ferrari resolvent of $f(x, t)$ is

$$y^3 - (t + 2)y^2 - 3y + 4t + 6 = (y - 2)(y^2 - ty - (2t + 3)).$$

Then $\text{disc}(f(x, t))(4\beta + c_1^2 - 4c_2) = (1 - 4t)^3(t + 2)(t + 6) \notin (\mathbb{Q}(t)^*)^2$ and $(\mathbb{Q}(t)^*)^2$. By Theorem 5.1, the Galois group of $f(x, t)$ over both $\mathbb{Q}(t)$ and $\mathbb{Q}(t)$ is $D_4$. Hence $f(x, t)$ gives rise to a $D_4$ regular extension over $\mathbb{Q}(t)$.

Hence as in the case of $D_5$ extension, we can define $M$, $t_M$ and a set $L(X)$ of square-free integers

$$L(X) = \{ \frac{X}{2} < t < X \mid (1 - 4t)(t + 2)(t + 6) \text{ square-free, } t \equiv t_M \mod M \}.$$  

For $t \in L(X)$, $|1 - 4t|$ is the Artin conductor of the one-dimensional representation and $|(t + 2)(t + 6)(1 - 4t)|$ is the Artin conductor of the two-dimensional representation. Hence we can apply Theorem 3.1 to $L(X)$.

To estimate $|L(X)|$, we introduce Nair’s work [18]. For an polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ of degree $d$, we define,

$$N_k(f, x, h) = N_k(x, h) = |\{ n : x < n \leq x + h \mid f(n) : k\text{-free} \}|.$$

He showed

**Theorem 5.2 (Nair).** If

$$f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} (a_i x - b_i)^{\alpha_i} \text{ and } \alpha = \max \alpha_i,$$

then

$$N_k(x, h) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\rho(p^2)}{p^2} \right) h + O \left( \frac{h}{(\log h)^{k-1}} \right)$$

for $h = x^{(\alpha/2k)+\epsilon}$ if $k > \alpha$ and $\epsilon > 0$.

Theorem 5.2 implies

$$|L(X)| = \prod_{\rho \in M} \left(1 - \frac{\rho(p^2)}{p^2} \right) \frac{X}{2M} + O \left( \frac{X}{M(\log X/M)} \right) \gg X^{1-\epsilon}.$$  

Let $c_0 = 21$. Choose $\alpha$ with $c_0 \frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha-1} < \frac{98}{100}$. By applying Theorem 3.1 to $L(X)$ with $e = 4$, $d = 1$ and $T = (\log CX^4)^2$, every automorphic $L$-function excluding exceptional $O(X^{98/100})$ $L$-functions has a zero-free region $[\alpha, 1] \times$
desired zero-free region, we have
\[ \log L(1, \rho_t) = \sum_{q \leq (\log d_{K_t})^{1/2}} \lambda(q)q^{-1} + O(1) \]

where we used the fact that
\[ (\log d_{K_t})^{1/2} \leq y = \frac{\log X}{\log \log X} \text{ for large } X. \]
So we have \( L(1, \rho_t) \gg (\log d_{K_t})^3 \).

By the class number formula and the size of regulator \( R_{K_t} \), we have the required result
\[ h_{K_t} \gg d_{K_t}^{1/2} \left( \frac{\log \log d_{K_t}}{\log d_{K_t}} \right)^3. \]

We summarize as follows:

**Theorem 5.3.** There is a constant \( c > 0 \) such that there exist \( K \in \mathfrak{R}(4, D_A, 0, 2) \) with arbitrarily large discriminant \( d_K \) for which
\[ h_K > cd_K^{1/2} \left( \frac{\log \log d_K}{\log d_K} \right)^3. \]

5.2. \( D_4 \) extension with the signature \((2,1)\). We specify that \( u = 1, t = 1 \). Then we have \( f(x, s) = x^3 - sx^3 + 3x^2 - sx + 1 \) and \( D_1 = s^2 - 4 \) and \( D_2 = 25 - 4s^2 = (5 + 2s)(5 - 2s) \). Assume that \( D_1 \) and \( D_2 \) are square-free for odd integers \( s \). Then \( d_F = D_1, d_L = D_2 \) and by Lemma 5.1 we have \( d_K = D_f = (s^2 - 4)^2(5 + 2s)(5 - 2s) \). For a positive integer \( s \) bigger than 3, \( D_1 \) is positive and \( D_2 \) is negative, by Lemma 5.1, \((r_1, r_2) = (2,1)\) and \( MK/Q \) is a \( D_4 \) Galois extension.

Nakamula showed for the field generated by \( f(x, t) \),
\[ \frac{QR_K}{R_F} = \frac{1}{3} \log \frac{|d_K|}{4} + o(1), \]
\[ R_F = \frac{1}{2} \log d_F + o(1) \]
as \( |d_K| \) and \( d_F \to \infty \). Here \( Q \) is 1 or 2 depending on \( K \) and \( F \). Hence \( R_K \ll (\log |d_K|)^2 \).

The Ferrari resolvent of \( f(x, s) \) is
\[ y^3 - 3y^2 + (s^2 - 2)y - 2(s^2 - 6) = (y - 2)(y^2 - y + (s^2 - 6)). \]
Then \( \text{disc}(f(x, s))(4\beta + c_1^2 - 4c_2) = (s^2 - 4)^3(5 + 2s)(5 - 2s) \notin (\mathbb{Q}(s)^*)^2 \) and \((\mathbb{Q}(s)^*)^2\). Hence \( f(x, s) \) gives rise to a \( D_4 \) regular extension over \( \mathbb{Q}(s) \). So we can define \( M, s_M \) and a set \( L(X) \) of square-free integers:
\[ L(X) = \left\{ \frac{X}{2} < s < X \mid (s^2 - 4)(25 - 4s^2) \text{ square-free}, s \equiv s_M \mod M \right\}. \]
For \( s \in L(X) \), \( s^2 - 4 \) is the Artin conductor of the one-dimensional representation and \(|(s^2 - 4)(25 - 4s^2)|\) is the Artin conductor of the two-dimensional representation. Hence we can apply Theorem 3.1 to \( L(X) \).

Theorem 5.2 implies

\[
|L(X)| = \prod_{p \mid M} \left( 1 - \frac{\rho(p^2)}{p^2} \right) \frac{X}{2M} + O \left( \frac{X}{M \log \frac{X}{M}} \right) \gg X^{1-\epsilon},
\]

and we have

**Theorem 5.4.** There is a constant \( c > 0 \) such that there exist \( K \in \mathfrak{A}(4, D_4, 2, 1) \) with arbitrarily large discriminant \( d_K \) for which

\[
h_K > cd_K^{1/2} \left( \frac{\log |d_K|}{\log |d_K|^2} \right)^{3/2}.
\]

5.3. \( D_4 \) extension with the signature \((4,0)\). We specify that \( u = -1, t = 1 \) and \( s > 6 \). Then we have \( f(x, s) = x^4 - sx^3 - x^2 + sx + 1 \) and \( D_1 = s^2 - 4 \) and \( D_2 = 9 + 4s^2 \). Assume that \( D_1 \) and \( D_2 \) are square-free for odd integer \( s \). Then \( d_F = D_1, d_L = D_2 \) and by Lemma 5.1 we have \( d_K = D_1 = (s^2 - 4)^2(9 + 4s^2) \). For square-free \( s^2 - 4 \) and \( 4s^2 + 9 \), \( K, L \) are always distinct. Hence, by Lemma 5.1, \((r_1, r_2) = (4, 0)\) and \( MK/Q \) is a \( D_4 \) Galois extension.

Nakamula showed for the field generated by \( f(x, t) \),

\[
\frac{QR_K}{R_F} = \frac{1}{18} \log \frac{d_K}{4} \log \frac{d_F}{2^{16}} + o(1)
\]

\[
R_F = \frac{1}{2} \log d_F + o(1)
\]
as \( d_K \) and \( d_F \to \infty \). Here \( Q \) is 1 or 2 depending on \( K \) and \( F \).

Hence \( R_K \ll (\log |d_K|)^3 \). The Ferrari resolvent of \( f(x, s) \) is

\[
y^3 + y^2 - (s^2 + 4)y - 2(s^2 + 2) = (y + 2)(y^2 - y - (s^2 + 2)).
\]

Then \( disc(f(x, s))(4\beta + c_1^2 - 4c_2) = (s^2 - 4)^3(9 + 4s^2) \notin (\mathbb{Q}(s)^*)^2 \) and \((\mathbb{Q}(s)^*)^2 \). Hence \( f(x, s) \) gives rise to a \( D_4 \) regular extension over \( \mathbb{Q}(s) \). We can define \( M, s_M \) a set \( L(X) \) of square-free integers:

\[
L(X) = \left\{ \frac{X}{2} < s < X \mid (s^2 - 4)(4s^2 + 9) \text{ square-free}, s \equiv s_M \mod M \right\}.
\]

Since \( 4s^2 + 9 \) is irreducible, we cannot apply Theorem 5.2 to \( L(X) \). Nair also showed

**Theorem 5.5 (Nair).** If \( f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{m}(f_i(x))^{\alpha_i} \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \), where each \( f_i \) is irreducible, \( \alpha = \max \alpha_i \) and \( \deg f_i(x) = g_i \), then

\[
N_k(x, h) = \prod_{p} \left( 1 - \frac{\rho(p^k)}{p^k} \right) h + O \left( \frac{h}{(\log h)^{k-1}} \right)
\]
for \( h = x^\theta \) where \( 0 < \theta < 1 \) and \( k \geq \max_i \{ \lambda g_i \alpha_i \} \), \( (\lambda = \sqrt{2} - 1/2) \) provided that at least one \( g_i \geq 2 \).

Theorem 5.5 implies that \(|L(X)| \gg X^{1-\epsilon}\). For \( s \in L(X) \), \( s^2 - 4 \) is the Artin conductor of the one-dimensional representation and \((s^2 - 4)(4s^2 + 9)\) is the Artin conductor of the two-dimensional representation. Hence we can apply Theorem 3.1 to \( L(X) \). We have

**Theorem 5.6.** There is a constant \( c > 0 \) such that there exist \( K \in K(3, D_3, 1, 1) \) with arbitrarily large discriminant \( d_K \) for which

\[
h_K > cd_K^{1/2} (\log \log d_K)^3 (\log d_K)^3.
\]

6. **\( D_3 \) extensions with signature (1, 1)**

We consider a family of non-abelian cubic fields with signature (1, 1) with the largest possible class numbers. This is different from the one considered in [5]. Our family is the one considered by Ishida [11].

**Theorem 6.1** (Ishida). Let \( K_t = \mathbb{Q}(\eta) \) be the cubic field of signature (1,1), where \( \eta \) is the real root of the cubic equation

\[
x^3 + tx - 1 = 0, \quad (t \in \mathbb{Z}, t \geq 2).
\]

If \( 4t^3 + 27 \) is square-free or \( t = 3m \) and \( 4m^3 + 1 \) is square-free, then \( \eta \) is the fundamental unit of \( K \).

It is easy to show that the real root \( \eta \) is located between \(-1 + t \) and \(-t \) for any \( \epsilon > 0 \). Hence for \( t \) with square-free \( 4t^3 + 27 \), the regulator \( R_{K_t} \) is

\[
\log t < R_{K_t} < (1 + \epsilon) \log t.
\]

Since \( d_{K_t} = -(4t^3 + 27) \), \( R_{K_t} \ll \log |d_{K_t}|. \)

Also it is clear that \( x^3 + tx - 1 \) gives rise to a regular \( D_3 (= S_3) \) extension over \( \mathbb{Q}(t) \). Hence we can define \( t_M \) and \( M \) similarly as before. Define

\[
L(X) = \left\{ \frac{X}{2} < t < X \mid 4t^3 + 27 \text{ square-free and } t \equiv t_M \mod M \right\}
\]

By the work of Hooley as in section 4, we have \( X^{1-\epsilon} \ll |L(X)| \ll X. \) Hence we have

**Theorem 6.2.** There is a constant \( c > 0 \) such that there exist \( K \in K(3, D_3, 1, 1) \) with arbitrarily large discriminant \( d_K \) for which

\[
h_K > cd_K^{1/2} (\log \log d_K)^2 (\log d_K)^2.
\]
7. Cyclic extensions

Let \( f(x, t) = x^n + a_1(t)x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_{n-1}(t)x + 1 \) be an irreducible polynomial over \( \mathbb{Q}(t) \) such that \( a_i(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t] \). Suppose \( f(x, t) \) gives rise to a cyclic extension over \( \mathbb{Q}(t) \), and if \( t \in \mathbb{Z} \), it gives rise to a totally real extension over \( \mathbb{Q} \). For each integer \( t > 0 \), let \( K_t \) be the cyclic extension over \( \mathbb{Q} \). Let \( Gal(K_t/\mathbb{Q}) = \{1, \sigma, \sigma^2, \ldots, \sigma^{n-1}\} \). Let \( \theta \) be a root of \( f(x, t) \). Then \( \theta, \sigma(\theta), \ldots, \sigma^{n-1}(\theta) \) are roots of \( f(x, t) \).

We show that if \( n = p \) is a prime, \( \sigma(\theta), \ldots, \sigma^{p-1}(\theta) \) form independent units, and the regulator of \( K_t \) is small. By definition, the regulator of \( \mathbb{Z}[\theta] \) is

\[
R = |\det(\log |\sigma^{i+j}(\theta)||)_{1 \leq i,j \leq p-1}|.
\]

**Theorem 7.1.** (1) \( R \neq 0 \), and (2) \( R \ll (\log t)^{p-1} \).

**Proof.** By Lemma 5.26 in [26], we have

\[
R = \frac{1}{p} \prod_{\chi \neq 1} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \chi(\sigma^i) \log |\sigma^i(\theta)| \right),
\]

where the product runs over the nontrivial characters of \( Gal(K_t/\mathbb{Q}) \).

Since \( t^{-c} \ll \sigma^i(\theta) \ll t^d \) for some \( c, d > 0 \) depending only on \( f(x, t) \), \( |\log |\sigma^i(\theta)|| \ll \log t \). Hence (2) follows.

Since \( \theta \cdot \sigma(\theta) \cdots \sigma^{p-1}(\theta) = \pm 1 \),

\[
\log |\theta| + \log |\sigma(\theta)| + \cdots + \log |\sigma^{p-1}(\theta)| = 0.
\]

Hence we write

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \chi(\sigma^i) \log |\sigma^i(\theta)| = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} (\chi(\sigma^i) - 1) \log |\sigma^i(\theta)|.
\]

Since \( p \) is a prime, by [7], \( \sigma(\theta), \ldots, \sigma^{p-1}(\theta) \) are multiplicatively independent. Hence \( \log |\sigma^i(\theta)|, \ldots, \log |\sigma^{p-1}(\theta)| \) are linearly independent over \( \mathbb{Q} \). By Baker’s theorem [1], they are linearly independent over \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \).

Since \( \chi(\sigma^i) \) are roots of unity and \( \chi \neq 1 \), one of \( \chi(\sigma^i) - 1 \) is not zero. Hence

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} (\chi(\sigma^i) - 1) \log |\sigma^i(\theta)| \neq 0.
\]

\( \square \)

**Remark 7.1.** If \( n \) is not a prime, we still have \( R \ll (\log t)^{n-1} \). However, \( R = 0 \) for a composite number \( n \). We show this for simplest quartic and sextic fields. Duke [4] proved that cyclic cubic fields given by \( f(x, t) = x^3 - tx^2 - (t + 3)x - 1 \) (studied by D. Shanks) have the smallest possible regulators.
7.1. Cyclic quartic fields. Consider totally real cyclic quartic fields $K_t$ generated by a root of

$$f(x, t) = x^4 - tx^3 - 6x^2 + tx + 1, \ t \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$ 

Here $\text{Disc}(f(x, t)) = 4(t^2 + 16)^3$.

We can express the 4 roots of $f(x, t)$ explicitly.

$$\theta_{1,2,3,4} = \pm \frac{\sqrt{t^2+16} \pm \sqrt{t^2+16} \pm t}{2\sqrt{2}} \pm \frac{t^2}{4}, \ t \neq 0, 3$$

where the second and third ambiguous signs agree.

Let $\theta_1$ be the largest root by choosing $+$ for all signs. The Galois group action on the roots is given by

$$\sigma : \theta_j \mapsto \frac{\theta_j - 1}{\theta_j + 1} = \theta_{j+1}, \ j = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$ 

Now $\theta_1, \theta_2 = \sigma(\theta_1)$ and $\theta_3 = \sigma^2(\theta_1)$ are not multiplicatively independent. The regulator

$$R = \frac{1}{4} \prod_{\chi \neq 1} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{3} \chi(\sigma^i) \log |\sigma^i(\theta)| \right)$$

vanishes because the term corresponding to $\chi(\sigma) = e^{\pi i}$ is zero.

It is known that $\theta_1, \theta_2$ and $\epsilon_t$ are independent units where $\epsilon_t$ is the fundamental units of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{t^2+16})$ (See p.10 in [16]). When $t$ is even, we can find $\epsilon_t$.

**Proposition 7.1** (Lazarus). When $t$ is even, $\epsilon_t$ is given by

$$\epsilon_t = \begin{cases} 
\frac{t/2 + \sqrt{(t/2)^2 + 4}}{2}, & t \equiv 2 \mod 4 \\
1 + \sqrt{3}, & t = 8 \\
t/4 + \sqrt{(t/4)^2 + 1}, & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}$$

We replace $t$ in $f(x, t)$ by $2t$ because it is convenient to consider only even $t$. Then

$$f(x, t) = x^4 - 2tx^3 - 6x^2 + 2tx + 1$$

with $\text{disc}(f(x, t)) = 2^8(t^2 + 4)^3$. When $t^2 + 4$ is square-free, the field discriminant $d_{K_t}$ equals $2^4(t^2 + 4)^3$, and $K_t$ has the unique quadratic subfield $M_t = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{t^2 + 4})$. Let $H \simeq C_2$ be the unique subgroup of order 2 in $C_4$. Then $\text{Ind}_{C_4}^{C_2} 1_H = 1 + \chi^2$ where $\chi$ the generator of the group of characters for $C_4$. Hence the Artin conductor $f(\chi^2)$ of $\chi^2$ equals $t^2 + 4$ when $t^2 + 4$ is square-free. Since $d_{K_t} = f(\chi)f(\chi^2)f(\chi^3)$ and $\chi^3 = \bar{\chi}$, we have $f(\chi) = f(\chi^3) = 2^2(t^2 + 4)$.

Hence by Proposition 7.1, when $t^2 + 4$ is square-free, the regulator $R_{K_t}$ is given by $R_{K_t} \ll \log^3 t$. Since $d_{K_t} = 2^4(t^2 + 4)^3$,

$$R_{K_t} \ll (\log d_{K_t})^3.$$
From the root formula for \( f(x, t) \), it is clear that \( f(x, t) \) gives rise to a \( C_4 \) regular extension over \( \mathbb{Q}(t) \).

Let

\[
L(X) = \left\{ \frac{X}{2} < t < X \mid t^2 + 4 \text{ square-free}, t \equiv t_M \mod M \right\}
\]

where \( t_M \) and \( M \) are defined similarly as before. Then we have \( X^{1-\epsilon} \ll |L(X)| \ll X \). Since the Artin conductors of the characters for the simplest quartic fields are increasing functions in \( t \), they satisfy the hypothesis in Theorem 3.1. Hence we have

**Theorem 7.2.** There is a constant \( c > 0 \) such that there exist \( K \in \mathfrak{s}(4, C_4, 4, 0) \) with arbitrarily large discriminant \( d_K \) for which

\[
h_K > c d_K^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{\log \log d_K}{\log d_K} \right)^3.
\]

### 7.2. Cyclic quintic fields.

Emma Lehmer [17] introduced a family of quintic polynomials \( f(x, t) \) for \( t \in \mathbb{Z} \):

\[
f(x, t) = x^5 + t^2 x^4 - \left( 2t^3 + 6t^2 + 10t + 10 \right) x^3 + (t^4 + 5t^3 + 11t^2 + 15t + 5) x^2 + (t^3 + 4t^2 + 10t + 10) x + 1.
\]

It is easy to show that \( f(x, t) \) is irreducible for all \( t \in \mathbb{Z} \) when we observe it modulo 2. And it is also known that the zeros of \( f(x, t) \) generate a cyclic extension \( K_t \) of degree 5 over \( \mathbb{Q} \). Here \( \text{Disc}(f(x, t)) = (t^4 + 5t^3 + 11t^2 + 15t + 5)^2 (t^3 + 4t^2 + 10t + 10)^4 \).

Let \( G = \text{Gal}(K_t/\mathbb{Q}) \) be the Galois group and \( \sigma \) be a generator of \( G \) given by

\[
\sigma(\theta) = \frac{(t + 2) + t \theta - \theta^2}{1 + (t + 2) \theta}
\]

for a root \( \theta \) of \( f(x, t) \). For the details, we refer to [21]. Also it is obvious that the Galois groups of \( f(x, t) \) over \( \mathbb{Q}(t) \) and over \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(t) \) are both \( C_5 \) generated by \( \sigma \). Hence \( f(x, t) \) gives rise to a regular \( C_5 \) extension over \( \mathbb{Q}(t) \).

Schoof and Washington studied these simplest quintic fields when \( P_t = t^4 + 5t^3 + 15t^2 + 25t + 25 \) is a prime number. When \( P_t \) is a prime, then the zeros of \( f(x, t) \) form a fundamental system of units in \( K_t \). Gaál and Pohst extended this result for square-free \( P_t \) (see the proof of Theorem 3.5 in [21]).

In this case, by Theorem 7.1, \( R_{K_t} \ll (\log t)^4 \). It also follows from [21]: Let \( U \) denote the group of units generated by the zeros modulo \( \{ \pm 1 \} \). Define \( i_\theta = [O_{K_t}^*/\{ \pm 1 \} : U] \). Schoof and Washington [21] showed that \( i_\theta \leq 11 \) if \( |t + 1| \geq 20 \) and

\[
R = |\det(\log |\sigma^{+j}(\theta)|)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4}| \leq \left( 71 + \frac{36}{\log |t + 1|} \right) \log^4 |t + 1|.
\]
Jeannin [12] found the prime factorization of $P_t$.

**Theorem 7.3** (Jeannin). The number $P_t$ is written in a unique way: $P_t = 5^c q^5 \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{x_i}, c \in \{0, 2\}, q \in \mathbb{N}, p_i$ distinct primes, $x_i \in [1, 4]$. So the conductor of $K_t$ is $f_t = 5^c \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i$.

Especially if $P_t$ is cube-free, then $P_t = 5^c \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{x_i}$ and $x_1 = 1$ or 2 and

$$t^4 < P_t \leq 5^c (\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i)^2 \leq d_{K_t}$$

since $d_{K_t} = f_t^4$. Hence for cube-free $P_t$, when we combine all these arguments, we have

$$R_{K_t} \ll \log^4(d_{K_t}).$$

Let $L(X)$ be a finite set given by

$$L(X) = \{ \frac{X}{2} < t < X \mid P_t \text{ cube-free and } t \equiv t_M \mod M \}.$$ 

Then by [10], page 69, we have $X^{1-\epsilon} \ll |L(X)| \ll X$.

For cyclic extensions of prime degree, the conductor of a cyclic extension equals the Artin conductors of characters for the extension. We showed that the product of prime divisors of $P_t = t^4 + 5t^3 + 15t^2 + 25t + 25$ is the conductor. But it would be possible that the sets of distinct prime divisors of $P_t$ coincide for different $t$’s. Let $\nu(n)$ is the number of distinct prime divisors of $n$. For each $t$, the number of possible repetition is bounded by $2^{\nu(P_t)}$ because we assume that $P_t$ is cube-free. It is known that $\nu(n) \ll \frac{\log n}{\log \log n}$ (See page 167 in [20]). Hence, for all $t < X$, $2^{\nu(P_t)} \ll 2^{\frac{\log X}{\log \log X}} \ll X^{\epsilon}$. After removing the possible repetition, we can say that the Artin conductors are distinct.

Hence we have

**Theorem 7.4.** There is a constant $c > 0$ such that there exist $K \in \mathcal{A}(5, C_5, 5, 0)$ with arbitrarily large discriminant $d_K$ for which

$$h_K > cd_K^{1/2} \frac{(\log \log d_K)^4}{(\log d_K)^4}.$$

7.3. Cyclic sextic fields. It was Gras [9] who introduced the simplest sextic polynomial $f(x, t)$ first, given by

$$f(x, t) = x^6 - \frac{t - 6}{2} x^5 - 5 \frac{t + 6}{4} x^4 - 20 x^3 + 5 \frac{t - 6}{4} x^2 + \frac{t + 6}{2} x + 1$$

and discriminant of $f(x, t)$ is $\frac{3^6}{277} (t^2 + 108)^5$.

Let $K_t = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$, where $\theta$ is a root of $f(x, t)$. She showed the following properties:
(1) If $t \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0, \pm 6, \pm 26\}$, then $f(x, t)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$, and $K_t$ is a real cyclic sextic field; a generator $\sigma$ of its Galois group is characterized by the relation $\sigma(\theta) = (\theta - 1)/(\theta + 2)$. We have $K_{-t} = K_t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, and we can suppose that $t \in \mathbb{N} - \{0, 6, 26\}$.

(2) The quadratic subfield of $K_t$ is $k_2 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{t^2 + 108})$.

(3) The cubic field of $K_t$ is $k_3 = \mathbb{Q}(\phi)$, where

$$\phi = \theta^{-1-\sigma^3} = -\frac{2\theta + 1}{\theta(\theta + 2)}$$

and

$$Irr(\phi, \mathbb{Q}) = x^3 - \frac{t - 6}{4}x^2 - \frac{t + 6}{4}x - 1;$$

the discriminant of this polynomial is $((t^2 + 108)/16)^2$. If $t \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $k_3$ is the simplest cubic field.

(4) The conductor $f$ of $K_t$ is given by the following procedure: Let $m$ be the product of primes, different from 2 and 3, dividing $t^2 + 108$ with an exponent not congruent to 0 modulo 6. Then $f = 4^k3^lm$, where

$$k = \begin{cases} 0, & t \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \text{ or } t \equiv \pm 6 \pmod{16} \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \quad l = \begin{cases} 0, & t \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ 1, & t \equiv 0 \pmod{27} \\ 2, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

As in the case of simplest quartic fields, $\theta, \sigma(\theta), \sigma^2(\theta), \sigma^3(\theta)$ and $\sigma^4(\theta)$ do not form independent units. The regulator

$$R = \frac{1}{6} \prod_{\chi \neq 1} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{5} \chi(\sigma^i) \log |\sigma^i(\theta)| \right)$$

vanishes because the term corresponding to $\chi(\sigma) = e^{\pi i}$ is zero.

Let

$$S(X) = \left\{ 0 < r < X \mid (3r^2 + 3r + 1)(12r^2 + 12r + 7) \text{ square-free} \right\}.$$ 

For all $r \in S(X)$, let $t = (6r + 3)(36r^2 + 36r + 18)$ and we consider fields $L_r = K_t = \mathbb{Q}(w)$ where $w = \theta^{1-\sigma^3} = -\frac{\theta(\theta + 1)}{\theta + 2}$. We note that $t^2 + 108 = 432(12r^2 + 12r + 7)(3r^2 + 3r + 1)^2$. Then there exists a unit $v$ such that $w = v^{1+\sigma}$. Hence $v = \sqrt[2]{\frac{(w+1)-\sqrt{(w+1)^2-8w}}{2}}$. Gras showed that if $r \in S(X)$, then the conductor of $k_2$ is $f_2 = 36r^2 + 36r + 21$, and the fundamental unit of $k_2$ is

$$\epsilon_2 = \frac{(12r^2 + 12r + 5) + (2r + 1)\sqrt{36r^2 + 36r + 21}}{2}.$$ 

By Proposition 1 in [27], the conductor of $k_3$ is $(3r^2 + 3r + 1)(12r^2 + 12r + 7)$. By the above property (4), if $r \in S(X)$, the conductor $f_6$ of $K_t$ is

$$3(12r^2 + 12r + 7)(3r^2 + 3r + 1).$$
Let $\sigma$ be the generator of $\text{Gal}(K_t/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq C_6$, $k_3 = K_t^{<\sigma^3>}$ and $k_2 = K_t^{<\sigma^2>}$. Let $\chi$ be the generator of the group of characters for $\text{Gal}(K_t/\mathbb{Q})$ with $\chi(\sigma) = e^{2\pi i/6}$. Then $\text{Ind}_{<\sigma^2>}^{<\sigma^3>} 1_{<\sigma^2>} = 1_{<\sigma>} + \chi^3$, $\text{Ind}_{<\sigma^3>}^{<\sigma^2>} 1_{<\sigma^3>} = 1_{<\sigma>} + \chi^2 + \chi^4$ and $\text{Ind}_{<\sigma^3>}^{<\sigma^2>} \varphi = 1_{<\sigma>} + \chi + \chi^5$ where $\varphi$ is the non-trivial character for $< \sigma^3 >$. Hence the Artin conductor of $\chi^3$ equals the field discriminant of $k_2$, which is $3(12r^2 + 12r + 7)$, and the Artin conductors of $\chi^2$ and $\chi^4$ are both $(3r^2 + 3r + 1)(12r^2 + 12r + 7)$. The Artin conductors of $\chi$ and $\chi^5$ equals $(3r^2 + 3r + 1)(12r^2 + 12r + 7)\sqrt{N(b)}$ where $b$ is the Artin conductor of $\varphi$. Since the Artin conductors of $\chi$ and $\chi^5$ are divisors of $f_6$, $\sqrt{N(b)}$ is at most 3. Hence we verified that the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1 is satisfied.

Since $t = (6r + 3)(36r^2 + 36r + 18) \equiv 2 \mod 4$, the field $k_3$ is a simplest cubic field. Hence for $r \in S$, we have an explicit system of fundamental units:

$$\{\epsilon_2, \tau, \tau^\sigma, v, v^\sigma\}$$

where $\tau$ is a root of $x^3 - \frac{t-6}{4}x^2 - \frac{t+6}{4}x - 1$. Hence for $t = (6r + 3)(36r^2 + 36r + 18)$ with $r \in S(X)$, the regulator $R_{K_t} \ll (\log d_{K_t})^5$.

Now we show that $f(x, (6r + 3)(36r^2 + 36r + 18))$ gives rise to a regular $C_6$ extension over $\mathbb{Q}(r)$. If $\theta_r$ is a root of $f(x, (6r + 3)(36r^2 + 36r + 18))$, then it is clear $\mathbb{Q}(r)(\theta_r)$ is the splitting field of $f(x, (6r + 3)(36r^2 + 36r + 18)$ over $\mathbb{Q}(r)$ with Galois group $C_6 = < \sigma >$. By the same argument, the Galois group of $f(x, (6r + 3)(36r^2 + 36r + 18))$ over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(t)$ is also $C_6 = < \sigma >$. Hence the claim follows.

Now define

$$L(X) = \left\{ \frac{X}{2} < r < X \mid \frac{(3r^2 + 3r + 1)(12r^2 + 12r + 7)}{r \equiv r_M \mod M} \text{ square-free,} \right\}$$

where $r_M$ and $M$ are defined similarly as before and we can show that $X^{1-\epsilon} \ll |L(X)| \ll X$. Hence we have

**Theorem 7.5.** There is a constant $c > 0$ such that there exist $K \in \mathfrak{R}(6, C_6, 6, 0)$ with arbitraril large discriminant $d_K$ for which

$$h_K > cd_K^{1/2} \frac{(\log \log d_K)^5}{(\log d_K)^5}.$$ 

**Remark 7.2.** We could not find in the literature a family of polynomials of degree 7, $f(x, t) = x^7 + a_1(t)x^6 + \cdots + a_6(t)x + 1$, where $a_i(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ which generate cyclic extensions of degree 7. By Theorem 7.1, such a family would provide a family of cyclic extensions of degree 7 with the largest possible class numbers.

**Remark 7.3.** Silverman [25] obtained a lower bound of regulator $R_K$ of number fields $K$: $R_K \gg (\log |d_K|)^{r-r_0}$, where $r = r_1 + r_2 - 1$ and $r_0$ is the maximum of unit ranks of subfields of $K$. However, in the above examples
where \( f(x, t) \) gives rise a regular Galois extension over \( \mathbb{Q}(t) \), the regulator \( R_{K_t} \) satisfies

\[
R_{K_t} \gg (\log |d_{K_t}|)^r.
\]

We conjecture that this is always sharp in the case of regular Galois extensions. The CM field \( K_t = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\alpha - t}) \), where \( \alpha \) is an algebraic integer, given in [6], does not give rise to a regular Galois extension over \( \mathbb{Q}(t) \), since \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \subset K_t \).

**Remark 7.4.** Shen [24] considered a parametric polynomial \( f(x, t) \) generating real cyclic octic fields:

\[
f(x, t) = x^8 - 8tx^7 - 28x^6 + 56tx^5 + 70x^4 - 56tx^3 - 28x^2 + 8tx + 1.
\]

However, this polynomial does not give rise to a regular extension over \( \mathbb{Q}(t) \), since \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \subset K_t \), where \( K_t \) is the splitting field of \( f(x, t) \) (See Theorem 1 in [24]). So this polynomial is not suitable for our purpose.
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