

JOURNAL de Théorie des Nombres de BORDEAUX

anciennement Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux

Paulo J. ALMEIDA

Sign changes of error terms related to arithmetical functions

Tome 19, n° 1 (2007), p. 1-25.

<http://jtnb.cedram.org/item?id=JTNB_2007__19_1_1_0>

© Université Bordeaux 1, 2007, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux » (<http://jtnb.cedram.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://jtnb.cedram.org/legal/>). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

*Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du
Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques
<http://www.cedram.org/>*

Sign changes of error terms related to arithmetical functions

par PAULO J. ALMEIDA

RÉSUMÉ. Soit $H(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\phi(n)}{n} - \frac{6}{\pi^2}x$. Motivé par une conjecture de Erdős, Lau a développé une nouvelle méthode et il a démontré que $\#\{n \leq T : H(n)H(n+1) < 0\} \gg T$. Nous considérons des fonctions arithmétiques $f(n) = \sum_{d|n} \frac{b_d}{d}$ dont l'addition peut être exprimée comme $\sum_{n \leq x} f(n) = \alpha x + P(\log(x)) + E(x)$. Ici $P(x)$ est un polynôme, $E(x) = -\sum_{n \leq y(x)} \frac{b_n}{n} \psi\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + o(1)$ avec $\psi(x) = x - \lfloor x \rfloor - 1/2$. Nous généralisons la méthode de Lau et démontrons des résultats sur le nombre de changements de signe pour ces termes d'erreur.

ABSTRACT. Let $H(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\phi(n)}{n} - \frac{6}{\pi^2}x$. Motivated by a conjecture of Erdős, Lau developed a new method and proved that $\#\{n \leq T : H(n)H(n+1) < 0\} \gg T$. We consider arithmetical functions $f(n) = \sum_{d|n} \frac{b_d}{d}$ whose summation can be expressed as $\sum_{n \leq x} f(n) = \alpha x + P(\log(x)) + E(x)$, where $P(x)$ is a polynomial, $E(x) = -\sum_{n \leq y(x)} \frac{b_n}{n} \psi\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + o(1)$ and $\psi(x) = x - \lfloor x \rfloor - 1/2$. We generalize Lau's method and prove results about the number of sign changes for these error terms.

1. Introduction

We say that an arithmetical function $f(x)$ has a *sign change on integers* at $x = n$, if $f(n)f(n+1) < 0$. The number of sign changes on integers of $f(x)$ on the interval $[1, T]$ is defined as

$$N_f(T) = \#\{n \leq T, n \text{ integer} : f(n)f(n+1) < 0\}.$$

We also define $z_f(T) = \#\{n \leq T, n \text{ integer} : f(n) = 0\}$. Throughout this work, $\psi(x) = x - \lfloor x \rfloor - 1/2$ and $f(n)$ will be an arithmetical function such

Manuscrit reçu le 8 janvier 2006.

This work was funded by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia grant number SFRH/BD/4691/2001, support from my advisor and from the department of mathematics of University of Georgia.

that

$$f(n) = \sum_{d|n} \frac{b_d}{d} \text{ for some sequence of real numbers } b_n.$$

The motivation for our work was a paper by Y.-K. Lau [5], where he proves that the error term, $H(x)$, given by

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\phi(n)}{n} = \frac{6}{\pi^2} x + H(x)$$

has a positive proportion of sign changes on integers solving a conjecture stated by P. Erdős in 1967.

An important tool that Lau used to prove his theorem, was that the error term $H(x)$ can be expressed as

$$(1) \quad H(x) = - \sum_{n \leq \frac{x}{\log^5 x}} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \psi\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^{20} x}\right), \quad (\text{S. Chowla [3]})$$

We generalize Lau's result in the following way

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose $H(x)$ is a function that can be expressed as*

$$(2) \quad H(x) = - \sum_{n \leq y(x)} \frac{b_n}{n} \psi\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{k(x)}\right),$$

where each b_n is a real number and

(i) $y(x)$ increasing, $x^{\frac{1}{4}} \ll y(x) \ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^{5+\frac{D}{2}}}$, for some $D > 0$, and

$$(3) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} b_n^4 \ll x \log^D x;$$

(ii) $k(x)$ is an increasing function, satisfying $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} k(x) = \infty$.

(iii) $H(x) = H(\lfloor x \rfloor) - \alpha\{x\} + \theta(x)$, where $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\theta(x) = o(1)$.

Let $\prec \in \{<, =, \leq\}$. If $\#\{1 \leq n \leq T : \alpha H(n) \prec 0\} \gg T$ then there exists a positive constant c_0 and $c_0 T$ disjoint subintervals of $[1, T]$, with each of them having at least two integers, m and n , such that $\alpha H(m) > 0$ and $\alpha H(n) \prec 0$. In particular,

- (1) $\#\{n \leq T : \alpha H(n) > 0\} \gg T$;
- (2) if $\#\{n \leq T : \alpha H(n) < 0\} \gg T$, then $N_H(T) \gg T$ or $z_H(T) \gg T$.

We consider arithmetical functions $f(n)$ for which, the error term of the summation function satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1.1. A first class is described in the following result

Theorem 1.2. Let $f(n)$ be an arithmetical function and suppose the sequence b_n satisfies condition (3) and

$$(4) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} b_n = Bx + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^A x}\right)$$

for some B real, $D > 0$ and $A > 6 + \frac{D}{2}$, respectively. Let $\alpha = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{n^2}$,

$$\gamma_b = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{b_n}{n} - B \log x \right) \text{ and } H(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) - \alpha x + \frac{B \log 2\pi x}{2} + \frac{\gamma_b}{2}.$$

If $\alpha \neq 0$, then Theorem 1.1 is valid for the error term $H(x)$. Moreover, if $f(n)$ is a rational function, then, except when $\alpha = 0$, or $B = 0$ and α is rational, we have

$$N_H(T) \gg T \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \#\{n \leq T : \alpha H(n) < 0\} \gg T.$$

Notice that this class of arithmetical functions is closed for addition, i.e., if $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ are members of the class then also is $(f + g)(n)$. In the case considered by Lau, it was known that $H(x)$ has a positive proportion of negative values (Y.-F. S. Pétermann [6]), so the second part of Theorem 1.2 generalizes Lau's result. Another example is $f(n) = \frac{n}{\phi(n)}$.

Using a result of U. Balakrishnan and Y.-F. S. Pétermann [2] we are able to apply Theorem 1.1 to more general arithmetical functions:

Theorem 1.3. Let $f(n)$ be an arithmetical function and suppose the sequence b_n satisfies condition (3) and

$$(5) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{n^s} = \zeta^{\beta}(s)g(s)$$

for some β real, $D > 0$, and a function $g(s)$ with a Dirichlet series expansion absolutely convergent for $\sigma > 1 - \lambda$, for some $\lambda > 0$. Let $\alpha = \zeta^{\beta}(2)g(2)$ and

$$H(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) - \alpha x, & \text{if } \beta < 0, \\ \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) - \alpha x - \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \beta \rfloor} B_j (\log x)^{\beta-j} & \text{if } \beta > 0, \end{cases}$$

where the constants B_j are well defined. If $\alpha \neq 0$, then Theorem 1.1 is valid for the error term $H(x)$.

Theorem 1.3 is valid for the following examples, where $r \neq 0$ is real:

$$\left(\frac{\phi(n)}{n} \right)^r, \quad \left(\frac{\sigma(n)}{n} \right)^r, \quad \left(\frac{\phi(n)}{\sigma(n)} \right)^r.$$

2. Main Lemma

The main tool used by Y.-K. Lau was his Main Lemma, where he proved that if $H(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\phi(n)}{n} - \frac{6}{\pi^2}x$ then

$$\int_T^{2T} \left(\int_t^{t+h} H(u) du \right)^2 dt \ll Th,$$

for sufficiently large T and any $1 \leq h \ll \log^4 T$. Lau's argument depends essentially on the formula (1). In this section, we obtain a generalization of Lau's Main Lemma.

Main Lemma. *Suppose $H(x)$ is a function that can be expressed as (2) and satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1.1. Then, for all large T and $h \leq \min(\log T, k^2(T))$, we have*

$$(6) \quad \int_T^{2T} \left(\int_t^{t+h} H(u) du \right)^2 dt \ll Th^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

For any positive integer N , define

$$(7) \quad H_N(x) = - \sum_{d \leq N} \frac{b_d}{d} \psi\left(\frac{x}{d}\right).$$

The Main Lemma will follow from the next result.

Lemma 2.1. *Assume the conditions of the Main Lemma and take $D > 0$ satisfying condition (i). Let $E = 4 + \frac{D}{2}$, then*

(a) *For any $\delta > 0$, large T , any $Y \ll T$ and $N \leq y(T)$, we have*

$$\int_T^{T+Y} (H(u) - H_N(u))^2 du \ll \frac{Y}{N^{1-\delta}} + \frac{Y}{k^2(T)} + y(T+Y)(\log T)^E;$$

(b) *For all large T , $N \leq y(T)$ and $1 \leq h \leq \min(\log T, k^2(T))$, we have*

$$\int_T^{2T} \left(\int_t^{t+h} H_N(u) du \right)^2 dt \ll Th^{\frac{3}{2}} + N^3(\log N)^E.$$

Now we prove the Main Lemma:

Proof. Take $N = T^{\frac{1}{4}}$ and $\delta > 0$ small. Cauchy's inequality gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_t^{t+h} H(u) du \right)^2 &\leq 2 \left(\int_t^{t+h} H_N(u) du \right)^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \left(\int_t^{t+h} (H(u) - H_N(u)) du \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $N = T^{\frac{1}{4}}$ then, for sufficiently large T , $N^3 \log^E N \ll T$. So, using part (b) of Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\int_T^{2T} \left(\int_t^{t+h} H_N(u) du \right)^2 dt \ll Th^{\frac{3}{2}} + N^3 \log^E N \ll Th^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Using Cauchy's inequality and interchanging the integrals,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_T^{2T} \left(\int_t^{t+h} (H(u) - H_N(u)) du \right)^2 dt \\ & \leq h \int_T^{2T} \left(\int_t^{t+h} (H(u) - H_N(u))^2 du \right) dt \\ & \leq h \int_T^{2T+h} \left(\int_{\max(u-h, T)}^{\min(u, 2T)} (H(u) - H_N(u))^2 dt \right) du \\ & \leq h^2 \int_T^{2T+h} (H(u) - H_N(u))^2 du \\ & \ll h^2 \left(\frac{T+h}{N^{1-\delta}} + \frac{T+h}{k^2(T)} + y(2T+h)(\log T)^E \right) \\ & \ll T + Th \ll Th^{\frac{3}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

since $y(2T+h) \ll \frac{T}{(\log T)^{E+1}}$ and $h \leq \min(\log T, k^2(T))$. Hence

$$\int_T^{2T} \left(\int_t^{t+h} H(u) du \right)^2 dt \ll Th^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

□

3. Step I

In this section, we will prove part (a) of Lemma 2.1. Using expression (2) and Cauchy's inequality, we obtain

$$\int_T^{T+Y} (H(u) - H_N(u))^2 du \leq 2 \int_T^{T+Y} \left(\sum_{m=N+1}^{y(u)} \frac{b_m}{m} \psi\left(\frac{u}{m}\right) \right)^2 du + O\left(\frac{Y}{k^2(T)}\right).$$

Let $\eta(T, m, n) = \max(T, y^{-1}(m), y^{-1}(n))$, then

$$2 \int_T^{T+Y} \left(\sum_{m=N+1}^{y(u)} \frac{b_m}{m} \psi\left(\frac{u}{m}\right) \right)^2 du = 2 \sum_{m,n=N+1}^{y(T+Y)} \frac{b_m b_n}{mn} \int_{\eta(T, m, n)}^{T+Y} \psi\left(\frac{u}{m}\right) \psi\left(\frac{u}{n}\right) du.$$

The Fourier series of $\psi(u) = u - \lfloor u \rfloor - \frac{1}{2}$, when u is not an integer, is given by

$$(8) \quad \psi(u) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(2\pi k u)}{k},$$

so we obtain

$$\frac{2}{\pi^2} \sum_{m,n=N+1}^{y(T+Y)} \frac{b_m b_n}{mn} \sum_{k,l=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{kl} \int_{\eta(T,m,n)}^{T+Y} \sin\left(2\pi \frac{ku}{m}\right) \sin\left(2\pi \frac{lu}{n}\right) du.$$

Now, the integral above is equal to

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\eta(T,m,n)}^{T+Y} \cos\left(2\pi u \left(\frac{k}{m} + \frac{l}{n}\right)\right) - \cos\left(2\pi u \left(\frac{k}{m} - \frac{l}{n}\right)\right) du.$$

For the first term we get

$$\int_{\eta(T,m,n)}^{T+Y} \cos\left(2\pi u \left(\frac{k}{m} + \frac{l}{n}\right)\right) du \ll \frac{1}{\left(\frac{k}{m} + \frac{l}{n}\right)}.$$

If $\frac{k}{m} = \frac{l}{n}$, then

$$\int_{\eta(T,m,n)}^{T+Y} \cos\left(2\pi u \left(\frac{k}{m} - \frac{l}{n}\right)\right) du \leq Y,$$

otherwise

$$\int_{\eta(T,m,n)}^{T+Y} \cos\left(2\pi u \left(\frac{k}{m} - \frac{l}{n}\right)\right) du \ll \frac{1}{\left|\frac{k}{m} - \frac{l}{n}\right|}.$$

Part (a) of Lemma 2.1 will now follow from the next three lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $E = 4 + \frac{D}{2}$ as in Lemma 2.1. Then*

$$\sum_{m,n \leq X} |b_m b_n| \sum_{\substack{k,l=1 \\ kn \neq lm}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{kl |kn - lm|} \ll X (\log X)^E.$$

Lemma 3.2. *If $D > 0$ satisfies condition (3), then*

$$\sum_{m,n \leq X} |b_m b_n| \sum_{k,l=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{kl (kn + lm)} \ll X (\log X)^{1+\frac{D}{2}}.$$

Lemma 3.3. *For any $\delta > 0$,*

$$\sum_{N < m,n \leq X} \frac{|b_m b_n|}{mn} \sum_{\substack{k,l=1 \\ kn = lm}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{kl} \ll \frac{1}{N^{1-\delta}}.$$

In order to finish the proof of part (a) of Lemma 2.1 we just need to take $X = y(T + Y)$ in the previous lemmas. Hence

$$\int_T^{T+Y} (H(u) - H_N(u))^2 \, du \ll \frac{Y}{N^{1-\delta}} + y(T + Y) (\log T)^E + \frac{Y}{k^2(T)}. \quad \square$$

Before we prove the three lemmas above, we need the following technical result

Lemma 3.4. *Let b_n be a sequence satisfying condition (3). Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq N} b_n^2 &\ll N \log^{\frac{D}{2}} N, \quad \sum_{n \leq N} |b_n| \ll N \log^{\frac{D}{4}} N, \quad \sum_{n \leq N} \frac{b_n^2}{n} \ll (\log N)^{1+\frac{D}{2}}, \\ \sum_{n \leq N} \frac{|b_n|}{n} &\ll (\log N)^{1+\frac{D}{4}}, \quad \sum_{n > N} \frac{b_n^4}{n^2} \tau(n) \ll \frac{1}{N^{1-\delta}}, \quad \text{for any } \delta > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Follows from Cauchy's inequality, partial summations and the fact that, for any $\epsilon > 0$, $\tau(n) = O(n^\epsilon)$. \square

Remark. If $H(x)$ can be expressed in the form (2), then

$$(9) \quad |H(x)| \leq \sum_{n \leq y(x)} \frac{|b_n|}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{k(x)}\right) \ll (\log x)^{1+\frac{D}{4}}.$$

Proof of Lemma 3.2 : Since the arithmetical mean is greater or equal to the geometrical mean, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m,n \leq X} |b_m b_n| \sum_{k,l=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{kl(kn + lm)} &\leq 2 \sum_{m,n \leq X} |b_m b_n| \sum_{k,l=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{kl\sqrt{knlm}} \\ &\ll \left(\sum_{m \leq X} \frac{|b_m|}{\sqrt{m}} \right)^2 \\ &\ll \left(\sum_{m \leq X} 1 \right) \left(\sum_{M \leq X} \frac{b_M^2}{M} \right) \\ &\ll X (\log X)^{1+\frac{D}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Proof of Lemma 3.3 : For the second sum, take $d = (m, n)$, $m = d\alpha$ and $n = d\beta$. Since $kn = lm$, then $\alpha|k$ and $\beta|l$. Taking $k = \alpha\gamma$, we also have $l = \beta\gamma$. As

$$(10) \quad \sum_{\substack{k,l=1 \\ kn=lm}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{kl} = \frac{1}{\alpha\beta} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \frac{(m,n)^2}{mn}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{N < m, n \leq X} \frac{|b_m b_n|}{mn} \sum_{\substack{k, l=1 \\ kn=lm}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{kl} &= \frac{\pi^2}{6} \sum_{N < m, n \leq X} \frac{|b_m b_n|(m, n)^2}{m^2 n^2} \\ &\leq \frac{\pi^2}{6} \sum_{d \leq X} \left(d \sum_{\substack{N < m \leq X \\ d|m}} \frac{|b_m|}{m^2} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

The next step is to estimate the inner sum using Hölder inequality

$$\left(\sum_{\substack{N < m \leq X \\ d|m}} \frac{|b_m|}{m^2} \right)^2 \leq \left(\sum_{\substack{N < m \leq X \\ d|m}} \frac{b_m^4}{m^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{N < M \leq X \\ d|M}} \frac{1}{M^2} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Set $M = \beta d$, then

$$(11) \quad \left(\sum_{\substack{N < M \leq X \\ d|M}} \frac{1}{M^2} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} = \frac{1}{d^3} \left(\sum_{\frac{N}{d} < \beta \leq \frac{X}{d}} \frac{1}{\beta^2} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \ll \frac{1}{d^3} \left(\min \left\{ 1, \frac{d^3}{N^3} \right\} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

To complete the proof of Lemma 3.3 we use Cauchy's inequality and Lemma 3.4. For any $\delta > 0$,

$$\sum_{N < m, n \leq X} \frac{|b_m b_n|}{mn} \sum_{\substack{k, l=1 \\ kn=lm}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{kl} \ll \sum_{d \leq X} \left(\frac{1}{d} \left(\min \left\{ 1, \frac{d^3}{N^3} \right\} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{N < m \leq X \\ d|m}} \frac{b_m^4}{m^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right),$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{N < m, n \leq X} \frac{|b_m b_n|}{mn} \sum_{\substack{k, l=1 \\ kn=lm}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{kl} \right)^2 &\ll \sum_{d \leq X} \frac{1}{d^2} \min \left\{ 1, \frac{d^3}{N^3} \right\} \sum_{D \leq X} \left(\sum_{\substack{N < m \leq X \\ D|m}} \frac{b_m^4}{m^2} \right) \\ &\ll \left(\frac{1}{N^3} \sum_{d \leq N} d + \sum_{d > N} \frac{1}{d^2} \right) \sum_{N < m \leq X} \left(\frac{b_m^4}{m^2} \sum_{D|m} 1 \right) \\ &\ll \frac{1}{N} \sum_{N < m \leq X} \frac{b_m^4}{m^2} \tau(m) \ll \frac{1}{N^{2-\delta}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Lemma 3.1 : This lemma is a generalization of *Hilfssatz 6* in [9] of A. Walfisz. Notice first that

$$\sum_{m, n \leq X} \left(|b_m b_n| \sum_{\substack{k, l=1 \\ kn \neq lm}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{kl |kn - lm|} \right) \leq 2 \sum_{m \leq n \leq X} \left(|b_m b_n| \sum_{\substack{k, l=1 \\ kn \neq lm}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{kl |kn - lm|} \right).$$

Like in [9] we begin by separating the interior sum into four terms:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{k,l=1 \\ kn \neq lm}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{kl|kn-lm|} &= \sum_{\substack{k,l=1 \\ lm \leq \frac{kn}{2}}}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{kl|kn-lm|} \right) + \sum_{\substack{k,l=1 \\ \frac{kn}{2} < lm < kn}}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{kl|kn-lm|} \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{k,l=1 \\ kn < lm < 2kn}}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{kl|kn-lm|} \right) + \sum_{\substack{k,l=1 \\ lm \geq 2kn}}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{kl|kn-lm|} \right). \end{aligned}$$

For the first term, we use Lemma 3.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \leq n \leq X} |b_m b_n| \sum_{\substack{k,l=1 \\ lm \leq \frac{kn}{2}}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{kl|kn-lm|} &\leq 2 \sum_{m \leq n \leq X} |b_m b_n| \sum_{\substack{k,l=1 \\ lm \leq \frac{kn}{2}}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2 ln} \\ &= 2 \sum_{m \leq n \leq X} |b_m| \frac{|b_n|}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{l \leq \frac{kn}{2m}} \frac{1}{l} \\ &\ll \sum_{m \leq n \leq X} |b_m| \frac{|b_n|}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\log k}{k^2} + \frac{\log X}{k^2} \right) \\ &\ll \log X \sum_{n \leq X} \frac{|b_n|}{n} \sum_{m \leq n} |b_m| \ll X (\log X)^{1+\frac{D}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

From the forth inequality of Lemma 3.4, we get

$$\sum_{m \leq n \leq X} |b_m b_n| \sum_{\substack{k,l=1 \\ kn \leq \frac{lm}{2}}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{kl|kn-lm|} \ll X (\log X)^{1+\frac{D}{2}}.$$

The estimation of the third term is more complicated and we have to use a different approach. In this case, $\frac{1}{l} < \frac{2m}{kn}$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \leq n \leq X} |b_m b_n| \sum_{\substack{k,l=1 \\ \frac{kn}{2} < lm < kn}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{kl|kn-lm|} &< 2 \sum_{m \leq n \leq X} |b_m| \frac{|b_n|}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{\frac{kn}{2m} < l < \frac{kn}{m}} \frac{m}{kn - lm} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&< 2 \sum_{m \leq n \leq X} |b_m| \frac{|b_n|}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{l \leq \frac{kn}{m} - 1} \left(\frac{1}{\frac{kn}{m} - l} \right) \\
&\quad + 2 \sum_{m \leq n \leq X} |b_m| \frac{|b_n|}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{\frac{kn}{m} - 1 < l < \frac{kn}{m}} \frac{m}{kn - lm}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, taking $L = \left[\frac{kn}{m} - l \right]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m \leq n \leq X} |b_m| \frac{|b_n|}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{l \leq \frac{kn}{m} - 1} \left(\frac{1}{\frac{kn}{m} - l} \right) &\leq \sum_{m \leq n \leq X} |b_m| \frac{|b_n|}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{L \leq \frac{kn}{m} - 1} \frac{1}{L} \\
&\ll X (\log X)^{1+\frac{D}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

as in the first term. If there exists an integer l with $\frac{kn}{m} - 1 < l < \frac{kn}{m}$, then $m \nmid kn$. In this case, $kn - lm = m \left\{ \frac{kn}{m} \right\}$ and $m < n$. So, we have to estimate

$$(12) \quad \sum_{m < n \leq X} |b_m| \frac{|b_n|}{n} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ m \nmid kn}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2 \left\{ \frac{kn}{m} \right\}}.$$

Notice that the fractional part of $\frac{kn}{m}$ is at least $\frac{1}{m}$. So, when $k \geq m$,

$$\sum_{m < n \leq X} |b_m| \frac{|b_n|}{n} \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{m}{k^2} \ll \sum_{m < n \leq X} |b_m| \frac{|b_n|}{n} \ll X (\log X)^{\frac{D}{2}}.$$

We are left with the estimation of

$$\sum_{m < n \leq X} |b_m| \frac{|b_n|}{n} \sum_{\substack{k < m \\ m \nmid kn}} \frac{1}{k^2 \left\{ \frac{kn}{m} \right\}}.$$

Since $m \nmid kn$, given k and n , we can take $a_{k,n}$, such that $1 \leq a_{k,n} < m$ and $a_{k,n} \equiv kn \pmod{m}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m < n \leq X} |b_m| \frac{|b_n|}{n} \sum_{\substack{k < m \\ m \nmid kn}} \frac{1}{k^2 \left\{ \frac{kn}{m} \right\}} &\leq \sum_{m < n \leq X} |b_m| \frac{|b_n|}{n} \sum_{k < m} \frac{m}{k^2 a_{k,n}} \\
&\leq \sum_{a,k \leq X} \frac{1}{ak^2} \sum_{\max(a,k) < m \leq X} m |b_m| \sum_{\substack{m < n \leq X \\ kn \equiv a \pmod{m}}} \frac{|b_n|}{n}.
\end{aligned}$$

We need to estimate the inner sums. In order to do that, we partition the interval $[1, X]$ in intervals of the form $[M, 2M)$ and apply Cauchy's

inequality. Take $1 \leq P \leq Q \leq X$, then,

$$\sum_{P \leq m < 2P} m|b_m| \sum_{\substack{Q \leq n < 2Q \\ kn \equiv a \pmod{m}}} \frac{|b_n|}{n} \ll \frac{P}{Q} \sum_{P \leq m < 2P} |b_m| \sum_{\substack{Q \leq n < 2Q \\ kn \equiv a \pmod{m}}} |b_n|.$$

Next, we apply Cauchy's inequality twice, first to the first sum on the right and afterwards to the second sum:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{P \leq m < 2P} \left(|b_m| \sum_{\substack{Q \leq n < 2Q \\ kn \equiv a \pmod{m}}} |b_n| \right) \right)^2 \\ & \leq \sum_{P \leq M < 2P} b_M^2 \sum_{P \leq m < 2P} \left(\sum_{\substack{Q \leq n < 2Q \\ kn \equiv a \pmod{m}}} |b_n| \right)^2 \\ & \ll P \log^{\frac{D}{2}} P \sum_{P \leq m < 2P} \left(\sum_{\substack{Q \leq n < 2Q \\ kn \equiv a \pmod{m}}} b_n^2 \sum_{kN \equiv a \pmod{m}} 1 \right) \\ & \ll P \log^{\frac{D}{2}} P \sum_{P \leq m < 2P} \left(\left(1 + \frac{Q}{\frac{m}{(k,m)}} \right) \sum_{\substack{Q \leq n < 2Q \\ kn \equiv a \pmod{m}}} b_n^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $m \leq 2P \leq 2Q$, we have $\frac{Q}{m} \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Using also $(k, m) \leq k$, we obtain

$$1 + \frac{Q}{\frac{m}{(k,m)}} \leq 1 + \frac{Qk}{m} \leq \frac{Q}{m}(k+2) \leq 3 \frac{Qk}{m}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{P \leq m < 2P} \left(|b_m| \sum_{\substack{Q \leq n < 2Q \\ kn \equiv a \pmod{m}}} |b_n| \right) \right)^2 \ll P \log^{\frac{D}{2}} P \sum_{P \leq m < 2P} \left(3 \frac{Qk}{m} \sum_{\substack{Q \leq n < 2Q \\ kn \equiv a \pmod{m}}} b_n^2 \right) \\ & \ll P \frac{3kQ}{P} \log^{\frac{D}{2}} P \sum_{Q \leq n < 2Q} \left(b_n^2 \sum_{\substack{P \leq m < 2P \\ m|kn-a}} 1 \right) \\ & \ll kQ (\log P)^{\frac{D}{2}} \sum_{Q \leq n < 2Q} b_n^2 \tau(kn - a). \end{aligned}$$

By a theorem of S. Ramanujan [7], $\sum_{n \leq X} \tau^2(n) \sim X \log^3 X$ and by another application of Cauchy inequality and condition (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{Q \leq n < 2Q} b_n^2 \tau(kn - a) \right)^2 &\leq \left(\sum_{Q \leq n < 2Q} b_n^4 \right) \left(\sum_{Q \leq n < 2Q} \tau^2(kn - a) \right) \\ &\ll Q \log^D Q \sum_{kQ-a \leq N < 2kQ-a} \tau^2(N) \\ &\ll kQ^2 \log^{D+3} X. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{P \leq m < 2P} \left(m |b_m| \sum_{\substack{Q \leq n < 2Q \\ kn \equiv a \pmod{m}}} \frac{|b_n|}{n} \right) &\ll \frac{P}{Q} \left(kQ (\log P)^{\frac{D}{2}} \sum_{Q \leq n < 2Q} b_n^2 \tau(kn - a) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\ll \frac{P}{Q} \left(kQ (\log X)^{\frac{D}{2}} (kQ^2 \log^{D+3} X)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\ll P k^{\frac{3}{4}} (\log X)^{1+\frac{D}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The number of pairs of intervals of the form $([P, 2P), [Q, 2Q))$ to be considered is at most $\ll \log^2 X$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a,k \leq X} \frac{1}{ak^2} \sum_{a < m \leq X} m |b_m| \sum_{\substack{m < n \leq X \\ kn \equiv a \pmod{m}}} \frac{|b_n|}{n} &\ll \sum_{a,k \leq X} \frac{k^{\frac{3}{4}}}{ak^2} \sum_{P,Q} P (\log X)^{1+\frac{D}{2}} \\ &\ll X (\log X)^{4+\frac{D}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The fourth term is treated as the third, hence

$$\sum_{m \leq n \leq X} |b_m b_n| \sum_{\substack{k,l=1 \\ kn < lm < 2kn}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{kl |kn - lm|} \ll X (\log X)^{4+\frac{D}{2}}.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1. \square

4. Step II

In this section we prove part (b) of Lemma 2.1. From equation (8), we get

$$\int_a^b \psi(u) du = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\cos(2\pi kb)}{k^2} - \frac{\cos(2\pi ka)}{k^2} \right).$$

Using the definition of H_N stated in (7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^{t+h} H_N(u) du &= - \sum_{m \leq N} \frac{b_m}{m} \int_t^{t+h} \psi\left(\frac{u}{m}\right) du \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi^2} \sum_{m \leq N} b_m \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos\left(2\pi \frac{k(t+h)}{m}\right) - \cos\left(2\pi \frac{kt}{m}\right)}{k^2}. \end{aligned}$$

As usual, let us write $e(t)$ for $e^{2\pi it}$, then

$$\int_t^{t+h} H_N(u) du = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \sum_{m \leq N} b_m \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(e\left(\frac{kh}{m}\right) - 1\right) e\left(\frac{kt}{m}\right) \left(e\left(-k\frac{(2t+h)}{m}\right) - 1\right)}{k^2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &16\pi^4 \int_T^{2T} \left| \int_t^{t+h} H_N(u) du \right|^2 dt \\ &= \int_T^{2T} \left| \sum_{m \leq N} b_m \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(e\left(\frac{kh}{m}\right) - 1\right) e\left(\frac{kt}{m}\right) \left(e\left(-k\frac{(2t+h)}{m}\right) - 1\right)}{k^2} \right|^2 dt \\ &= \sum_{m,n \leq N} b_m b_n \sum_{k,l=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(e\left(\frac{kh}{m}\right) - 1\right) \left(e\left(-\frac{lh}{n}\right) - 1\right)}{(kl)^2} \\ &\quad \times \int_T^{2T} e\left(\frac{kt}{m}\right) e\left(-\frac{lt}{n}\right) \left(e\left(-k\frac{(2t+h)}{m}\right) - 1\right) \left(e\left(l\frac{(2t+h)}{n}\right) - 1\right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

After multiplying the terms inside the integral above, we obtain the following four terms that we will estimate below:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_T^{2T} e\left(\frac{kt}{m} - \frac{lt}{n}\right) dt + e\left(\frac{lh}{n} - \frac{kh}{m}\right) \int_T^{2T} e\left(\frac{lt}{n} - \frac{kt}{m}\right) dt \\ &- e\left(-\frac{kh}{m}\right) \int_T^{2T} e\left(-\frac{lt}{n} - \frac{kt}{m}\right) dt - e\left(\frac{lh}{n}\right) \int_T^{2T} e\left(\frac{lt}{n} + \frac{kt}{m}\right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that, $\left| \int_T^{2T} e^{2\pi i r t} dt \right| \leq \frac{1}{\pi|r|}$, for any $r \neq 0$. We begin with the last term and use $|e(t) - 1| \leq 2$ and Lemma 3.2. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{m,n \leq N} b_m b_n \sum_{k,l=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(e\left(\frac{kh}{m}\right) - 1\right) \left(e\left(-\frac{lh}{n}\right) - 1\right) e\left(\frac{lh}{n}\right)}{(kl)^2} \int_T^{2T} e\left(\frac{lt}{n} + \frac{kt}{m}\right) dt \right| \\ & \leq \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{m,n \leq N} |b_m b_n| \sum_{k,l=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(kl)^2 \left(\frac{l}{n} + \frac{k}{m}\right)} \\ & \ll \sum_{m,n \leq N} |b_m b_n| \sum_{k,l=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{m}{k}\right) \left(\frac{n}{l}\right) \frac{1}{kl(lm + kn)} \ll N^3 (\log N)^{1+\frac{D}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The third term is treated similarly to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{m,n \leq N} b_m b_n \sum_{k,l=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(e\left(\frac{kh}{m}\right) - 1\right) \left(e\left(-\frac{lh}{n}\right) - 1\right) e\left(-\frac{kh}{m}\right)}{(kl)^2} \int_T^{2T} e\left(-\frac{lt}{n} - \frac{kt}{m}\right) dt \right| \\ & \ll N^3 (\log N)^{1+\frac{D}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if $kn = lm$, then

$$\int_T^{2T} e\left(\frac{kt}{m} - \frac{lt}{n}\right) dt + e\left(\frac{lh}{n} - \frac{kh}{m}\right) \int_T^{2T} e\left(\frac{lt}{n} - \frac{kt}{m}\right) dt = 2T.$$

If $kn \neq lm$ then,

$$\int_T^{2T} e\left(\frac{kt}{m} - \frac{lt}{n}\right) dt + e\left(\frac{lh}{n} - \frac{kh}{m}\right) \int_T^{2T} e\left(\frac{lt}{n} - \frac{kt}{m}\right) dt \ll \frac{1}{\left|\frac{k}{m} - \frac{l}{n}\right|}.$$

Let us study first the case when $kn \neq lm$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{m,n \leq N} b_m b_n \sum_{\substack{k,l=1 \\ kn \neq lm}}^{\infty} \frac{\left(e\left(\frac{kh}{m}\right) - 1\right) \left(e\left(-\frac{lh}{n}\right) - 1\right)}{(kl)^2} \int_T^{2T} e\left(\frac{kt}{m} - \frac{lt}{n}\right) dt \right| \\ & \ll \sum_{m,n \leq N} |b_m b_n| \sum_{\substack{k,l=1 \\ kn \neq lm}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(kl)^2 \left|\frac{k}{m} - \frac{l}{n}\right|} \\ & \ll \sum_{m,n \leq N} |b_m b_n| \sum_{\substack{k,l=1 \\ kn \neq lm}}^{\infty} \left(\frac{m}{k}\right) \left(\frac{n}{l}\right) \frac{1}{kl |kn - lm|} \ll N^3 \log^E N, \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 3.1. Similarly,

$$\left| \sum_{m,n \leq N} b_m b_n \sum_{\substack{k,l=1 \\ kn \neq lm}}^{\infty} \frac{(e(\frac{kh}{m}) - 1)(e(-\frac{lh}{n}) - 1)e(\frac{lh}{n} - \frac{kh}{m})}{(kl)^2} \int_T^{2T} e\left(\frac{lt}{n} - \frac{kt}{m}\right) dt \right| \ll N^3 \log^E N.$$

If $kn = lm$, we will use $|e(t) - 1| \ll \min(1, |t|)$ instead. The expression obtained has some similarities with Lemma 3.3. We are going to use the same argument to prove:

$$(13) \quad \sum_{m,n \leq N} |b_m b_n| \sum_{\substack{k,l=1 \\ kn=lm}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(kl)^2} \min\left(1, \frac{kh}{m}\right) \min\left(1, \frac{lh}{n}\right) \ll h^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

As in Lemma 3.3, take $d = (m, n)$, $\alpha = \frac{m}{d}$, $\beta = \frac{n}{d}$ and $\gamma = \frac{k}{\alpha}$. So $l = \beta\gamma$ and then

$$\sum_{\substack{k,l=1 \\ kn=lm}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(kl)^2} \min\left(1, \frac{kh}{m}\right) \min\left(1, \frac{lh}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{\alpha^2 \beta^2} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma^4} \left(\min\left(1, \frac{h\gamma}{d}\right) \right)^2.$$

If $d \leq h$, we obtain $\sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma^4} \left(\min\left(1, \frac{h\gamma}{d}\right) \right)^2 = \frac{\pi^4}{90}$, and if $h < d \leq N$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma^4} \left(\min\left(1, \frac{h\gamma}{d}\right) \right)^2 &= \left(\frac{h}{d}\right)^2 \sum_{\gamma \leq \frac{d}{h}} \frac{1}{\gamma^2} + \sum_{\gamma > \frac{d}{h}} \frac{1}{\gamma^4} \\ &\ll \left(\frac{h}{d}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{h}{d}\right)^3 \ll \left(\frac{h}{d}\right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m,n \leq N} |b_m b_n| \sum_{\substack{k,l=1 \\ kn=lm}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(kl)^2} \min\left(1, \frac{kh}{m}\right) \min\left(1, \frac{lh}{n}\right) \\ &= \sum_{m,n \leq N} |b_m b_n| \frac{(m,n)^4}{m^2 n^2} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma^4} \left(\min\left(1, \frac{h\gamma}{(m,n)}\right) \right)^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{d \leq N} d^4 \sum_{\substack{m,n \leq N \\ d=(m,n)}} \frac{|b_m b_n|}{m^2 n^2} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma^4} \left[\min\left(1, \frac{h\gamma}{d}\right) \right]^2 \\ &\ll \sum_{d \leq h} \left(d^2 \sum_{\substack{m \leq N \\ d|m}} \frac{|b_m|}{m^2} \right)^2 + h^2 \sum_{h < d \leq N} \left(d \sum_{\substack{m \leq N \\ d|m}} \frac{|b_m|}{m^2} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $d|m$ we have $m > h$ and so, $h^2 \sum_{h < d \leq N} \left(d \sum_{\substack{m \leq N \\ d|m}} \frac{|b_m|^4}{m^2} \right)^2 \ll h^{1+\delta}$. To estimate the first term we begin with Hölder inequality:

$$\sum_{d \leq h} d^4 \left(\sum_{\substack{m \leq N \\ d|m}} \frac{|b_m|^4}{m^2} \right)^2 \leq \sum_{d \leq h} d^4 \left(\sum_{\substack{m \leq N \\ d|m}} \frac{|b_m|^4}{m^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{M \leq N \\ d|M}} \frac{1}{M^2} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

The third sum is $O\left(\frac{1}{d^3}\right)$ (similar to (11)). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d \leq h} d^4 \left(\sum_{\substack{m \leq N \\ d|m}} \frac{|b_m|^4}{m^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{M \leq N \\ d|M}} \frac{1}{M^2} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} &\ll \sum_{d \leq h} d \left(\sum_{\substack{d \leq m \leq N \\ d|m}} \frac{|b_m|^4}{m^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\ll \left(\sum_{d \leq h} d^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\sum_{D \leq h} \left(\sum_{\substack{D \leq m \leq N \\ D|m}} \frac{|b_m|^4}{m^2} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\ll h^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\sum_{m \leq N} \frac{|b_m|^4}{m^2} \sum_{\substack{D \leq h \\ D|m}} 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\ll h^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\sum_{m \leq N} \frac{|b_m|^4}{m^2} \tau(m) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The last part of Lemma 3.4 implies $\sum_{m \leq N} \frac{|b_m|^4}{m^2} \tau(m) = O(1)$, where the underlying constant doesn't depend on N . Therefore, we obtain inequality (13) and part (b) of Lemma 2.1, follows. \square

5. A general Theorem

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1, from which the main Theorems 1.2 and 1.3, will be deduced.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 : From the Main Lemma, we have, for all large T and $h \leq \min(\log T, k^2(T))$,

$$\int_T^{2T} \left(\int_t^{t+h} H(u) du \right)^2 dt \ll Th^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Assume $\#\{n \leq T : \alpha H(n) \prec 0\} \gg T$. Let $c > 0$ be a constant and T be sufficiently large, such that $\#\{n \leq 2T : \alpha H(n) \prec 0\} > cT$. Divide the interval $[1, 2T]$ into subintervals of length h , where h is a sufficiently large integer satisfying $h \leq \log T$. Then more than cT/h of those subintervals must have at least one integer n with $\alpha H(n) \prec 0$. Let \mathcal{C} be the set of the subintervals which satisfy this property. Write $\mathcal{C} = \{J_r \mid 1 \leq r \leq R\}$, where the subintervals are indexed by their positions in the interval $[1, 2T]$ and

where $R > cT/h$. Define $K_s = J_{3s-2}$, for $1 \leq s \leq R/3$, and let \mathcal{D} be the set of these subintervals. We have $\#(\mathcal{D}) > cT/3h$. Notice that any two members of \mathcal{D} are separated by a distance of at least $2h$.

Let M be the number of subintervals K in \mathcal{D} for which there exists an integer n in K such that $\alpha H(n) \prec 0$ and $\alpha H(m) \leq 0$ for every integer $m \in (n, n + 2h)$, and let \mathcal{S} be the set of the corresponding values of n .

Lemma 5.1. *For some absolute constant c_1 , we have $M \leq c_1 \frac{T}{h^2}$.*

Proof. Since $H(x) = H(\lfloor x \rfloor) - \alpha\{x\} + \theta(x)$, then

$$\alpha H(x) - \alpha H(\lfloor x \rfloor) = -\alpha^2\{x\} + \alpha\theta(x).$$

So, if x is sufficiently large and not an integer then

$$(14) \quad -\frac{5}{4}\alpha^2\{x\} < \alpha H(x) - \alpha H(\lfloor x \rfloor) < -\frac{3}{4}\alpha^2\{x\}.$$

Let n_1 be the smallest integer such that any non integer $x > n_1$ satisfies condition (14). If $\#\{n \in \mathcal{S} : n \geq n_1\} = 0$ then $M \leq n_1$, so, the lemma is clearly true for sufficiently large T . Otherwise,

$$\#\{n \in \mathcal{S} : n \geq n_1\} \geq M - n_1 \gg M.$$

Take $n \in \mathcal{S}$ with $n \geq n_1$ and $t \in [n, n + h]$. For any integer $m \in [t, t + h]$, $\alpha H(m) \leq 0$. Now, for any $1 \leq j < h$,

$$\int_{[t]+j}^{[t]+j+1} H(u) du = \int_{[t]+j}^{[t]+j+1} (H(u) - H([t] + j)) du + \int_{[t]+j}^{[t]+j+1} H([t] + j) du.$$

Therefore, by (14),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[t]+j}^{[t]+j+1} \alpha H(u) du &< \int_0^1 \left(-\frac{3}{4}\alpha^2 x \right) dx + \alpha H([t] + j) \\ &< -\frac{3}{8}\alpha^2, \end{aligned}$$

because $\alpha H([t] + j) \leq 0$. Since $[t] \geq n$, we also have

$$\int_t^{[t]+1} \alpha H(u) du < \int_{\{t\}}^1 \left(-\frac{3}{4}\alpha^2 x \right) dx + \alpha H([t])(1 - \{t\}) < 0$$

and

$$\int_{[t]+h}^{t+h} \alpha H(u) du < \int_0^{\{t\}} \left(-\frac{3}{4}\alpha^2 x \right) dx + \alpha H([t] + h)\{t\} \leq 0.$$

Hence,

$$\left| \int_t^{t+h} H(u) du \right| \geq \frac{3}{8}|\alpha|(h - 1).$$

Take an integer $r = r(T)$ such that $2^r > (\log T)^{3+\frac{D}{2}}$. Using (6) and (9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2T} \left(\int_t^{t+h} H(u) du \right)^2 dt &= \int_0^{\frac{T}{2^r}} \left(\int_t^{t+h} H(u) du \right)^2 dt \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^r \int_{\frac{T}{2^j}}^{\frac{T}{2^{j-1}}} \left(\int_t^{t+h} H(u) du \right)^2 dt \\ &\ll \frac{T}{2^r} h^2 (\log T)^{2+\frac{D}{2}} + h^{\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^r \frac{T}{2^j} \\ &\ll Th^{\frac{3}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

due to $h \leq \log T$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2T} \left(\int_t^{t+h} H(u) du \right)^2 dt &\geq \sum_{n \in \mathcal{S}} \int_n^{n+h} \left(\int_t^{t+h} H(u) du \right)^2 dt \\ &\geq \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathcal{S} \\ n \geq n_1}} \int_n^{n+h} \left(\frac{3}{8} |\alpha| (h-1) \right)^2 dt \\ &\gg Mh^3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $M \leq c_1 \frac{T}{h^{\frac{3}{2}}}$ for some absolute constant c_1 . \square

Take $c_0 = c/6h$. If h is a suitably large integer such that $c_1 T < c_0 Th^{\frac{3}{2}}$, then there are at least $c_0 T$ intervals K in \mathcal{D} such that $\alpha H(n) \prec 0$ for some integer $n \in K$ and $\alpha H(m) > 0$ for some integer m lying in $(n, n+2h)$. Now, suppose $\#\{n \leq T : \alpha H(n) \leq 0\} \gg T$. Take T sufficiently large and take the order relation ‘ \prec ’ to be ‘ \leq ’. Therefore, we have $c_0 T$ integers m in the interval $[1, 2T]$, for which $\alpha H(m)$ is positive. In this case,

$$\#\{n \leq T : \alpha H(n) > 0\} \gg T.$$

If we don't have $\#\{n \leq T : \alpha H(n) \leq 0\} \gg T$, then

$$\#\{n \leq T : \alpha H(n) > 0\} = T(1 + o(1)).$$

Hence, part 1 of Theorem 1.1 is proved. Next, we prove part 2. Take ‘ \prec ’ to be ‘ $<$ ’. Then, there exists a positive constant c_0 and $c_0 T$ disjoint subintervals of $[1, T]$, with each of them having at least two integers, m and n , such that $H(m) > 0$ and $H(n) < 0$. Therefore, in each of those intervals we have at least one l with either $H(l) = 0$ or $H(l)H(l+1) < 0$. Whence, $z_H(T) > \frac{c_0}{2} T$ or $N_H(T) > \frac{c_0}{2} T$. \square

6. A class of arithmetical functions

In this section, we consider arithmetical functions $f(n)$, such that the sequence b_n satisfies conditions (3) and (4). We begin with some elementary results about this class of arithmetical functions. Using condition (4), we immediately obtain the following lemma:

Lemma 6.1. *Let b_n be a sequence of real numbers satisfying (4), for some constants B and $A > 1$, then there exist constants γ_b and α such that*

$$(15) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{b_n}{n} = B \log x + \gamma_b + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^{A-1} x}\right),$$

$$(16) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{n^2} = \alpha,$$

$$(17) \quad \sum_{n>x} \frac{b_n}{n^2} = \frac{B}{x} + O\left(\frac{1}{x \log^{A-1} x}\right).$$

Next, we calculate the sum of $f(n)$ and describe the error term $H(x)$.

Lemma 6.2. *Let b_n be a sequence of real numbers as in Lemma 6.1, then*

$$(18) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) = \sum_{n \leq x} \sum_{d|n} \frac{b_d}{d} = \alpha x - \frac{B \log 2\pi x}{2} - \frac{\gamma_b}{2} + H(x),$$

where,

$$(19) \quad H(x) = - \sum_{n \leq \frac{x}{\log^C x}} \frac{b_n}{n} \psi\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^C x}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^{A-C-1} x}\right),$$

for any $0 < C < A - 1$.

Proof. We have

$$\sum_{n \leq x} f(n) = \sum_{n \leq x} \sum_{d|n} \frac{b_d}{d} = \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{b_d}{d} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ d|n}} 1 = \sum_{m \leq x} \sum_{\substack{d \leq \frac{x}{m} \\ d|m}} \frac{b_d}{d}.$$

We separate the double sum above in two parts. Let $0 < C < A - 1$ and $y = \log^C x$. Then

$$(20) \quad \sum_{m \leq x} \sum_{d \leq \frac{x}{m}} \frac{b_d}{d} = \sum_{n \leq y} \sum_{d \leq \frac{x}{n}} \frac{b_d}{d} + \sum_{d \leq \frac{x}{y}} \frac{b_d}{d} \sum_{y < n \leq \frac{x}{d}} 1.$$

In order to evaluate the first term on the right, we start with an application of formula (15):

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq y} \sum_{d \leq \frac{x}{n}} \frac{b_d}{d} &= \sum_{n \leq \lfloor y \rfloor} \left(B \log x - B \log n + \gamma_b + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^{A-1}(\frac{x}{n})}\right) \right) \\ &= B \lfloor y \rfloor \log x - B \sum_{n \leq \lfloor y \rfloor} \log n + \gamma_b \lfloor y \rfloor + O\left(\frac{y}{\log^{A-1}(\frac{x}{y})}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that by Stirling formula, $\sum_{n \leq \lfloor y \rfloor} \log n = \lfloor y \rfloor \log \lfloor y \rfloor - \lfloor y \rfloor + \frac{\log \lfloor y \rfloor}{2} + \frac{\log 2\pi}{2} + O\left(\frac{1}{y}\right)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq y} \sum_{d \leq \frac{x}{n}} \frac{b_d}{d} &= B \lfloor y \rfloor (\log x - \log \lfloor y \rfloor + 1) + \gamma_b \lfloor y \rfloor - \frac{B(\log 2\pi y)}{2} \\ &\quad + O\left(\frac{y}{\log^{A-1} x}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{y}\right). \end{aligned}$$

For the second term, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d \leq \frac{x}{y}} \frac{b_d}{d} \sum_{y < n \leq \frac{x}{d}} 1 &= \sum_{d \leq \frac{x}{y}} \frac{b_d}{d} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{d} \right\rfloor - \lfloor y \rfloor \right) \\ &= x \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_d}{d^2} - x \sum_{d > \frac{x}{y}} \frac{b_d}{d^2} - \sum_{d \leq \frac{x}{y}} \frac{b_d}{d} \psi\left(\frac{x}{d}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{2} + \lfloor y \rfloor\right) \sum_{d \leq \frac{x}{y}} \frac{b_d}{d} \\ &= \alpha x - B y - \sum_{d \leq \frac{x}{y}} \frac{b_d}{d} \psi\left(\frac{x}{d}\right) - \frac{\gamma_b + B \log x}{2} \\ &\quad - B \lfloor y \rfloor (\log x - \log y) + \frac{B \log y}{2} - \gamma_b \lfloor y \rfloor + O\left(\frac{y}{\log^{A-1} x}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Notice also that $B \lfloor y \rfloor (\log y - \log \lfloor y \rfloor) = B \lfloor y \rfloor \left(-\log\left(1 - \frac{\{y\}}{y}\right)\right) = B\{y\} + O\left(\frac{1}{y}\right)$. Joining everything together, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H(x) &= \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) - \left(\alpha x - \frac{B \log 2\pi x}{2} - \frac{\gamma_b}{2} \right) \\ &= - \sum_{d \leq \frac{x}{\log^C x}} \frac{b_d}{d} \psi\left(\frac{x}{d}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^{A-C-1} x}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^C x}\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.2 : We just have to show that $H(x)$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1.1. From Lemma 6.2, for any x

$$\begin{aligned} H(x) - H(\lfloor x \rfloor) &= -\alpha\{x\} - \frac{B}{2} (\log 2\pi \lfloor x \rfloor - \log 2\pi x) \\ &= -\alpha\{x\} + \frac{B}{2} \frac{\{x\}}{x} + O\left(\frac{1}{x^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

In Lemma 6.2, we also obtained

$$H(x) = - \sum_{n \leq \frac{x}{\log^C x}} \frac{b_n}{n} \psi\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^C x}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^{A-C-1} x}\right),$$

for any $0 < C < A - 1$. Take $C = 5 + \frac{D}{2}$, $y(x) = \frac{x}{\log^C x}$ and $k(x) = \min(\log^C x, \log^{A-C-1} x)$. Since $A > 6 + D/2$, then $C < A - 1$ and $A - C - 1 > 0$. The first part of Theorem 1.2 now follows from Theorem 1.1.

Suppose that $f(n)$ takes only rational values. In order to prove the second part of Theorem 1.2, we use the following result of A. Baker [1].

Proposition. *Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ and β_0, \dots, β_n denote nonzero algebraic numbers. Then $\beta_0 + \beta_1 \log \alpha_1 + \dots + \beta_n \log \alpha_n \neq 0$.*

Using the result above, we obtain the next lemma.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $f(n)$ be a rational valued arithmetical function and suppose the sequence b_n satisfies condition (4) for some real B and $A > 1$. Let r be a real number and suppose $H(x)$ is given by (18). Then*

- (1) *If $B = 0$ and α is irrational then $\#\{n \text{ integer} : H(n) = r\} \leq 1$;*
- (2) *If B is a nonzero algebraic number then $\#\{n \text{ integer} : H(n) = r\} \leq 2$;*
- (3) *If B is transcendental then there exists a constant C that depends on r and on the function $f(n)$, such that*

$$\#\{n \leq T, n \text{ integer} : H(n) = r\} < (\log T)^C.$$

Proof. Suppose that $B = 0$ and α is irrational. Suppose also that there are two integers, say $M \neq N$, such that $H(M) = H(N)$. Then

$$\sum_{n \leq M} f(n) - \alpha M + \frac{\gamma_b}{2} = \sum_{n \leq N} f(n) - \alpha N + \frac{\gamma_b}{2}.$$

But this implies that α is rational, a contradiction.

Next, suppose $B \neq 0$ is algebraic number and that there are $M > N > Q$ integers, satisfying $H(M) = H(N) = H(Q)$. We have

$$\sum_{n \leq M} f(n) - \alpha M + \frac{B \log 2\pi M}{2} + \frac{\gamma_b}{2} = \sum_{n \leq N} f(n) - \alpha N + \frac{B \log 2\pi N}{2} + \frac{\gamma_b}{2}$$

which implies

$$\alpha = \frac{B}{M-N} \log \left(\frac{M}{N} \right) + \frac{1}{M-N} \sum_{N < n \leq M} f(n).$$

Consequently

$$B \log \left(\frac{\left(\frac{M}{N} \right)^{\frac{1}{M-N}}}{\left(\frac{M}{Q} \right)^{\frac{1}{M-Q}}} \right) = \frac{1}{M-Q} \sum_{Q < n \leq M} f(n) - \frac{1}{M-N} \sum_{N < n \leq M} f(n).$$

We are going to prove that

$$(21) \quad \left(\frac{M}{N} \right)^{\frac{1}{M-N}} \neq \left(\frac{M}{Q} \right)^{\frac{1}{M-Q}}.$$

Since B is a nonzero algebraic number and the values of $f(n)$ are rational, for any integer n , the proposition implies

$$B \log \left(\frac{\left(\frac{M}{N} \right)^{\frac{1}{M-N}}}{\left(\frac{M}{Q} \right)^{\frac{1}{M-Q}}} \right) \neq \frac{1}{M-Q} \sum_{Q < n \leq M} f(n) - \frac{1}{M-N} \sum_{N < n \leq M} f(n),$$

and so we get a contradiction, which implies $\#\{n \text{ integer} : H(n) = r\} \leq 2$, for any real number r . In fact, instead of proving (21), we are going to prove that

$$(22) \quad M^{N-Q} Q^{M-N} < N^{M-Q},$$

for any positive integers $M > N > Q$. Clearly, this implies (21). The inequality (22) is just a particular case of the geometric mean-analytic mean inequality

$$(23) \quad \left(\prod_{i=1}^n u_i \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n u_i,$$

where equality happens only if $u_1 = u_2 = \dots = u_n$. In fact, if we take $n = M-Q$, $u_i = M$ for $1 \leq i \leq N-Q$ and $u_i = Q$ for $N-Q < i \leq M-Q$, we derive

$$\left(M^{N-Q} Q^{M-N} \right)^{\frac{1}{M-Q}} < \frac{1}{M-Q} ((N-Q)M + (M-N)Q) = N.$$

Hence, we obtain (22) and part 2 of the Lemma.

Finally we prove part 3. Suppose r is a real number such that

$$\#\{n \leq T : H(n) = r\} \geq 4.$$

Let $Q < N < M$ be the three smallest positive integers in the above set, then

$$0 \neq B \log \left(\frac{\left(\frac{M}{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{M-N}}}{\left(\frac{M}{Q}\right)^{\frac{1}{M-Q}}} \right) = \frac{1}{M-Q} \sum_{Q < n \leq M} f(n) - \frac{1}{M-N} \sum_{N < n \leq M} f(n).$$

Suppose L is such that $H(L) = r$. Then $L > N > Q$, and as in part 2:

$$0 \neq B \log \left(\frac{\left(\frac{L}{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{L-N}}}{\left(\frac{L}{Q}\right)^{\frac{1}{L-Q}}} \right) = \frac{1}{L-Q} \sum_{Q < n \leq L} f(n) - \frac{1}{L-N} \sum_{N < n \leq L} f(n).$$

After we cross multiply the two expressions above, we obtain

$$\log \left(\frac{\left(\frac{M}{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{M-N}}}{\left(\frac{M}{Q}\right)^{\frac{1}{M-Q}}} \right) = r_1 \log \left(\frac{\left(\frac{L}{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{L-N}}}{\left(\frac{L}{Q}\right)^{\frac{1}{L-Q}}} \right),$$

for some rational r_1 . Therefore, there are four rational numbers r_2, r_3, r_4 and r_5 , such that

$$L^{r_2} = M^{r_3} N^{r_4} Q^{r_5}.$$

Now, any prime dividing L must divide MNQ . Notice that, if p is a prime, k is an integer and $p^k \leq x$ then $k \leq \frac{\log x}{\log p}$. Therefore, the number of integers smaller than x , which have all prime divisors smaller than M is smaller than $(\log x)^{\pi(M)}$. This finishes the proof. \square

Except when $\alpha = 0$, or $B = 0$ and α is rational, we cannot have $z_H(T) \gg T$. Hence, we obtain the second part of Theorem 1.2. \square

Example. We finish this section by proving that Theorem 1.2 is valid for the arithmetical function $\frac{n}{\phi(n)}$.

Notice that

$$\frac{n}{\phi(n)} = \prod_{p|n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1} \right) = \sum_{d|n} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{\phi(d)}.$$

Let $b_n = \frac{\mu^2(n)n}{\phi(n)}$, then $f(n) = \sum_{d|n} \frac{b_d}{d}$. In [8], R. Sitaramachandraraao proved that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu^2(n)n}{\phi(n)} = x + O\left(x^{\frac{1}{2}}\right),$$

so condition (4) is satisfied for any A and with $B = 1$. By Merten's Theorem $\prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} \leq \prod_{p \leq n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} \sim e^\gamma \log n$, hence

$$\sum_{n \leq x} b_n^4 = \sum_{n \leq x} \mu^2(n) \frac{n^4}{\phi^4(n)} = \sum_{n \leq x} O(\log^4 n) = O(x \log^4 x),$$

and condition (3) is satisfied for $D \geq 4$. In this case,

$$\alpha = \frac{\zeta(2)\zeta(3)}{\zeta(6)}, \quad \gamma_b = \gamma + \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)}$$

and

$$H(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{n}{\phi(n)} - \frac{\zeta(2)\zeta(3)}{\zeta(6)}x + \frac{\log x}{2} + \frac{\log 2\pi + \gamma + \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)}}{2}.$$

Since $B = 1$ we can apply Theorem 6.3, and so $z(T) \leq 2$. Therefore, if $\#\{n \leq T : \alpha H(n) < 0\} \gg T$, then $N_H(T) \gg T$.

7. Second class of arithmetical functions

Given a sequence of real numbers b_n , and a complex number s , we define the Dirichlet series $B(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{n^s}$. In this section, we consider arithmetical functions $f(n)$, such that the sequence b_n satisfies conditions (3) and (5) for some $D > 0$, β real and a function $g(s)$ with a Dirichlet series expansion absolutely convergent for $\sigma > 1 - \lambda$, for some $\lambda > 0$.

U. Balakrishnan and Y.-F. S. Pétermann [2] proved that:

Proposition. *Let $f(n)$ be a complex valued arithmetical function satisfying*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(n)}{n^s} = \zeta(s)\zeta^{\beta}(s+1)g(s+1),$$

for a complex number β , and $g(s)$ having a Dirichlet series expansion

$$g(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{n^s},$$

which is absolutely convergent in the half plane $\sigma > 1 - \lambda$ for some $\lambda > 0$. Let β_0 be the real part of β . If

$$\zeta^{\beta}(s)g(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{n^s}$$

then there is a real number b , $0 < b < 1/2$, and constants B_j , such that, taking $y(x) = x \exp(-(\log x)^b)$ and $\alpha = \zeta^\beta(2)g(2)$,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} f(n) = \begin{cases} \alpha x - \sum_{n \leq y(x)} \frac{b_n}{n} \psi\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + o(1) & \text{if } \beta_0 < 0, \\ \alpha x + \sum_{j=0}^{[\beta_0]} B_j (\log x)^{\beta-j} - \sum_{n \leq y(x)} \frac{b_n}{n} \psi\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + o(1) & \text{if } \beta_0 \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

The real version of the previous proposition allows us to prove Theorem 1.3:

Proof. Notice that, for any $c > 0$, $\log^c \lfloor x \rfloor = \log^c x - c \frac{\{x\}}{x} \log^{c-1} x + O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$. So, $H(x) = H(\lfloor x \rfloor) - \alpha\{x\} + o(1)$. From the previous proposition, there is an increasing function $k(x)$, with $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} k(x) = \infty$, such that

$$H(x) = - \sum_{n \leq y(x)} \frac{b_n}{n} \psi\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{k(x)}\right),$$

where $y(x) = x \exp(-(\log x)^b)$, for some $0 < b < 1/2$. Hence, the result follows from Theorem 1.1. \square

Acknowledgements: I would like to thank my advisor Andrew Granville for his guidance and encouragement in this research and to the Referee for his useful comments.

References

- [1] A. BAKER, *Linear forms in the logarithms of algebraic numbers (III)*. Mathematika **14** (1967), 220–228.
- [2] U. BALAKRISHNAN, Y.-F. S. PÉTERMANN, *The Dirichlet series of $\zeta(s)\zeta^\alpha(s+1)f(s+1)$: On an error term associated with its coefficients*. Acta Arithmetica **75** (1996), 39–69.
- [3] S. CHOWLA, *Contributions to the analytic theory of numbers*. Math. Zeit. **35** (1932), 279–299.
- [4] G. H. HARDY, E. M. WRIGHT, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*. 5th ed. Oxford 1979.
- [5] Y.-K. LAU, *Sign changes of error terms related to the Euler function*. Mathematika **46** (1999), 391–395.
- [6] Y.-F. S. PÉTERMANN, *On the distribution of values of an error term related to the Euler function*. Théorie des Nombres, (Quebec, PQ, 1987), 785–797.
- [7] S. RAMANUJAN, *Collected papers*. Cambridge, 1927, 133–135.
- [8] R. SITARAMACHANDRARAO, *On an error term of Landau - II*. Rocky Mount. J. of Math. **15** 2 (1985), 579–588.
- [9] A. WALFISZ, *Teilerprobleme II*. Math. Zeit. **34** (1931), 448–472.

Paulo J. ALMEIDA
 Departamento de Matemática
 Universidade de Aveiro
 Campus Universitário de Santiago
 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal
 E-mail: paulo@mat.ua.pt