

# JOURNAL

de Théorie des Nombres  
de BORDEAUX

*anciennement Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux*

Amedeo SCREMIN

**Diophantine inequalities with power sums**

Tome 19, no 2 (2007), p. 547-560.

<[http://jtnb.cedram.org/item?id=JTNB\\_2007\\_\\_19\\_2\\_547\\_0](http://jtnb.cedram.org/item?id=JTNB_2007__19_2_547_0)>

© Université Bordeaux 1, 2007, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux » (<http://jtnb.cedram.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://jtnb.cedram.org/legal/>). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

*Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du*  
*Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques*  
<http://www.cedram.org/>

## Diophantine inequalities with power sums

par AMEDEO SCREMIN

RÉSUMÉ. On appelle somme de puissances toute suite  $\alpha : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  de nombres complexes de la forme

$$\alpha(n) = b_1 c_1^n + b_2 c_2^n + \dots + b_h c_h^n,$$

où les  $b_i \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  et les  $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  sont fixés. Soit  $F(x, y) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[x, y]$  un polynôme unitaire, absolument irréductible, de degré au moins 2 en  $y$ . On démontre que les solutions  $(n, y) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}$  de l'inégalité

$$|F(\alpha(n), y)| < \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(\alpha(n), y) \right| \cdot |\alpha(n)|^{-\varepsilon}$$

sont paramétrées par un nombre fini de sommes de puissances. Par conséquent, on déduit la finitude des solutions de l'équation diophantienne

$$F(\alpha(n), y) = f(n),$$

où  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  est un polynôme non constant et  $\alpha$  est une somme de puissances non constante.

ABSTRACT. The ring of power sums is formed by complex functions on  $\mathbb{N}$  of the form

$$\alpha(n) = b_1 c_1^n + b_2 c_2^n + \dots + b_h c_h^n,$$

for some  $b_i \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  and  $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $F(x, y) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[x, y]$  be absolutely irreducible, monic and of degree at least 2 in  $y$ . We consider Diophantine inequalities of the form

$$|F(\alpha(n), y)| < \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(\alpha(n), y) \right| \cdot |\alpha(n)|^{-\varepsilon}$$

and show that all the solutions  $(n, y) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}$  have  $y$  parametrized by some power sums in a finite set. As a consequence, we prove that the equation

$$F(\alpha(n), y) = f(n),$$

with  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  not constant,  $F$  monic in  $y$  and  $\alpha$  not constant, has only finitely many solutions.

---

Manuscrit reçu le 18 mars 2003.

The author was supported by Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica "Francesco Severi", grant for abroad Ph.D.

## 1. Introduction

The present paper deals with diophantine equations and inequalities involving certain *power sums*, i.e. functions of  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  of the form

$$(1) \quad \alpha(n) = b_1 c_1^n + b_2 c_2^n + \dots + b_h c_h^n,$$

with  $c_1 > c_2 > \dots > c_h > 0$ , where the  $b_i$ , called the *coefficients* of  $\alpha(n)$ , are (nonzero) algebraic numbers and the  $c_i$ , called the *roots* of  $\alpha(n)$ , are distinct integers or rationals. A power sum is *non-degenerate* if no quotient of two distinct roots is a root of unity. It is well known that such functions, even allowing the  $b_i$  to be polynomials in  $n$  and the  $c_i$  to be algebraic numbers, satisfy linear recurrence relations. Since long ago, a number of results concerning diophantine equations and inequalities with power sums have been proved. Among the recent ones, we may mention, for instance, the results by Kiss [9] who proved, under some assumptions on the absolute values of the roots of  $\alpha(n)$ , that the inequality  $|sx^q - \alpha(n)| > e^{cn}$ , where  $\alpha(n)$  is a non-degenerate power sum with algebraic roots and polynomial coefficients, holds for integers  $s$ ,  $x > 1$  and  $q$ , provided that  $n$  and  $q$  are large enough. Shorey and Stewart [14] proved that for any fixed  $\delta > 0$  the inequality  $|sx^q - \alpha(n)| > |c_1|^{n(1-\delta)}$ , where  $\alpha(n)$  is non-degenerate with algebraic roots and constant coefficients, holds for all the non-zero integers  $s, x$ , for  $n > 0$ , and for every non-zero integer  $q > q_0(\alpha, P)$ , where  $P$  is the greatest prime factor of  $s$ , assuming that  $sx^q \neq b_1 c_1^n$  and that in  $\alpha(n)$  there is a root with largest absolute value. This result was proved using estimates for linear forms in logarithms due to Baker (see [1]). Pethö [10] proved for non-degenerate power sums with  $h = 2$  and coprime coefficients that if  $\alpha(n) = sx^q$  holds for integers  $x \neq 0$ ,  $q \geq 2$  and  $n > 0$ , then  $\max\{|x|, q, n\}$  is bounded by an effectively computable number depending on the greatest prime divisor of  $s$ . Recently Corvaja and Zannier [2] have found new results concerning the inequality  $|\alpha(n) - y^d| \ll |\alpha(n)|^\rho$ , where  $\alpha(n)$  has positive integral roots and rational coefficients,  $d \geq 2$  and  $\rho < 1 - 1/d$ . Using the Schmidt Subspace Theorem (see [12]) they proved that if this inequality has infinitely many solutions  $(n, y) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}$ , then all the solutions, but finitely many, have  $y$  parametrized by some power sums in a finite set; also, the numbers  $n$  such that  $(n, y)$  is a solution, except finitely many, form a finite union of arithmetical progressions. As a consequence, for every  $d \geq 2$  the equation  $\alpha(n) = y^d$  has only finitely many solutions, if we suppose that  $\alpha(n)$  has positive integral roots and that two roots with largest absolute value are coprime, apart from trivial cases, which are easy to classify. In [3], under some assumptions on the size of the roots of  $\alpha(n)$  and allowing the coefficients and the roots of  $\alpha(n)$  to be algebraic, they extended this result to the more general equation  $F(\alpha(n), y) = 0$ . This paper will not be concerned with quantitative aspects, though the methods allow to estimate

the number of relevant solutions. In the context of the paper by Corvaja and Zannier ([3]), some estimates have been obtained by Fuchs [7], using a quantitative version of the Subspace Theorem due to Evertse (see [6]).

In this paper we first study lower bounds for the quantity  $|F(\alpha(n), y)|$ , and in particular the inequality  $|F(\alpha(n), y)| < |\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(\alpha(n), y)| \cdot |\alpha(n)|^{-\varepsilon}$  for power sums with integral roots and algebraic coefficients, where  $F(x, y)$  is an absolutely irreducible polynomial monic in  $y$ . We shall obtain (Theorem 3.1) that all the solutions  $(n, y) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}$  have  $y$  parametrized by some power sums in a finite set. This conclusion is in a sense best possible, since the same result doesn't hold for  $\varepsilon < 0$ . In fact, suppose that  $F(\alpha(n), y)$  has a real zero  $y_n$  for all sufficiently large  $n$ . Setting  $y(n)$  to be the nearest integer to  $y_n$ , we have (see [4])

$$|F(\alpha(n), y(n))| = |y(n) - y_n| \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(\alpha(n), \xi) \right| < \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(\alpha(n), \xi) \right| \ll \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(\alpha(n), y(n)) \right|,$$

where  $y(n) \leq \xi \leq y_n$  (or  $y_n \leq \xi \leq y(n)$ ).

Our proof shall use a result concerning the inequality  $|\alpha(n) - y| < e^{-n\varepsilon}$  derived by Corvaja and Zannier [2, Lemma 2] from Schmidt Subspace Theorem. From Theorem 3.1 follows (Corollary 3.2) the generalization of the result in [2, Theorem 3] to the inequality  $|F(\alpha(n), y)| < |\alpha(n)|^{1-\frac{1}{d}-\varepsilon}$ , under some assumptions on the Puiseux expansion at infinity of  $y$  as function of  $x$  under the relation  $F(x, y) = 0$ . As a simple application (Corollary 3.3) we shall deduce the finiteness of the solutions of the equation  $F(\alpha(n), y) = f(n)$ , under the assumption that  $f(n)$  is a non constant polynomial and that  $\alpha(n)$  is not constant. This gives a generalization of the results in [2] and [3].

### 2. Notation

In the present paper we will denote by  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{Q}}$  and  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{Z}}$  the rings of functions on  $\mathbb{N}$  of the form  $\alpha(n) = b_1c_1^n + b_2c_2^n + \dots + b_hc_h^n$ , where the distinct roots  $c_i \neq 0$  are in  $\mathbb{Q}$  or in  $\mathbb{Z}$  respectively, and the coefficients  $b_i \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ . If  $\mathbb{K} \subset \mathbb{C}$  is a number field, we will denote by  $\mathbb{K}\Sigma_{\mathbb{Q}}$  and  $\mathbb{K}\Sigma_{\mathbb{Z}}$  the ring of power sums with coefficients in  $\mathbb{K}$ .

The subrings of power sums with only positive roots will be denoted by  $\mathbb{K}\Sigma_{\mathbb{Q}}^+$  and  $\mathbb{K}\Sigma_{\mathbb{Z}}^+$ . Working in this domain causes no loss of generality: the assumption of positivity of the roots may usually be achieved by writing  $2n + r$  instead of  $n$ , and considering the cases of  $r = 0, 1$  separately.

Note that every constant power sum, i.e. a power sum with only one root  $c_1 = 1$ , belongs to  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{Z}}^+$ . Power sums will be denoted by Greek letters.

### 3. Statements

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $F \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[x, y]$  be absolutely irreducible, monic and of degree  $d \geq 2$  in  $y$ ; let  $\alpha(n) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}\Sigma_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , and let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be fixed. Then there exists a finite set of power sums  $\{\beta_1(n), \dots, \beta_s(n)\} \subset \Sigma_{\mathbb{Z}}^+$  such that every solution  $(n, y) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}$  of the inequality*

$$(2) \quad |F(\alpha(n), y)| < \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(\alpha(n), y) \right| \cdot |\alpha(n)|^{-\varepsilon}$$

*satisfies  $y = \beta_i(n)$ , for a certain  $i = 1, \dots, s$ .*

*The set  $\{\beta_1(n), \dots, \beta_s(n)\}$  contains at most  $d^2$  non constant power sums. Moreover, the set of natural numbers  $n$  such that  $(n, y)$  is a solution of (2) is the union of a finite set and a finite number of arithmetic progressions.*

For the formulation of Corollary 3.2 we need the following.

**Definition.** Let  $F(x, y) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[x, y]$  be monic in  $y$  and of degree  $d \geq 2$  in  $y$ . Let  $F(x, y) = (y - \varphi_1(x)) \cdot \dots \cdot (y - \varphi_d(x))$  be the factorization of  $F(x, y)$  in the ring of Puiseux series in  $x$  at infinity. Here, for each  $j = 1, \dots, d$ ,  $\varphi_j(x) = \sum_{i=-k_j}^{+\infty} a_{ij} x^{-i/e_j}$ , with  $a_{-k_j j} \neq 0$  and for a real determination of  $x^{1/e_j}$ , is an expansion at infinity of  $y$  as function of  $x$ .

In the present paper we will call the polynomial  $F(x, y)$  "regular" if for every  $j, l = 1, \dots, d$ , with  $j \neq l$ , we have  $k_j/e_j \neq k_l/e_l$  or  $a_{-k_j j} \neq a_{-k_l l}$ .

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $F(x, y) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[x, y]$  be monic in  $y$ , absolutely irreducible, regular, of degree  $d \geq 2$  in  $y$ . Let  $\alpha(n) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}\Sigma_{\mathbb{Z}}$ ; let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $c > 0$  be fixed. Then there exists a finite set of power sums  $\{\beta_1(n), \dots, \beta_s(n)\} \subset \Sigma_{\mathbb{Z}}^+$  such that every solution  $(n, y) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}$  of the inequality*

$$(3) \quad |F(\alpha(n), y)| < c \cdot |\alpha(n)|^{1-\frac{1}{d}-\varepsilon}$$

*satisfies  $y = \beta_i(n)$  for a certain  $i = 1, \dots, s$ .*

*The set  $\{\beta_1(n), \dots, \beta_s(n)\}$  contains at most  $d^2$  non constant power sums. Moreover, the natural numbers  $n$  such that  $(n, y)$  is a solution of (3), except finitely many, make up a finite union of arithmetical progressions.*

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $F(x, y) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[x, y]$  be monic in  $y$ , absolutely irreducible and of degree  $d \geq 2$  in  $y$ ; let  $f(n) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a non constant polynomial; let  $\alpha(n)$  be a non constant power sum with integral roots and algebraic coefficients. Then the equation*

$$(4) \quad F(\alpha(n), y) = f(n)$$

*has only finitely many solutions  $(n, y) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}$ .*

### 4. Auxiliary results

The following Lemma 4.1, proved in a more general version by Corvaja and Zannier (see [2, Lemma 2]) using a version of the Subspace Theorem due to H.P. Schlickewei (see [11], [12, Theorem 1, p. 178]), plays a crucial role throughout the paper, since it contains the fundamental information to prove Theorem 3.1.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $\tau(n) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}\Sigma_{\mathbb{Q}}^+$ , and let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be fixed. Then there exists a power sum  $\beta(n) \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{Z}}^+$  such that for all but finitely many solutions  $(n, y) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}$  of the inequality*

$$(5) \quad |\tau(n) - y| < e^{-n\varepsilon},$$

we have  $y = \beta(n)$ .

Moreover, the roots of  $\beta(n)$  are in the set of the roots of  $\tau(n)$ .

For the proof of Theorem 3.1 we need also some standard results from the theory of algebraic functions fields, namely the theory of Puiseux expansions. We recall here a simple version of the Puiseux Theorem concerning the Puiseux expansions at the infinity for the polynomials of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)[y]$ . More general versions can be found in [5] and [8].

**Theorem 4.2** (Puiseux Theorem). *Let  $F(x, y) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)[y]$  be an absolutely irreducible polynomial, monic and of degree  $d$  in  $y$ . Then for  $i = 1, \dots, d$  there exist  $e_i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $1 \leq e_i \leq d$ , and Laurent series in  $x^{-1/e_i}$*

$$\varphi_i(x) = \sum_{k=v_i}^{+\infty} a_{ik} x^{-k/e_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, d$$

with  $v_i \leq 0$ , such that

$$F(x, y) = \prod_{i=1, \dots, d} (y - \varphi_i(x)).$$

The Laurent series  $\varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_d(x)$  are convergent for  $|x|$  large enough, and the coefficients  $a_{ij}$  are elements of a finite field extension  $\mathbb{K}$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

The Laurent series  $\varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_d(x)$  coming from the Puiseux Theorem are called *Puiseux series* of the polynomial  $F(x, y)$ .

### 5. Proofs

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** Plainly, we need to consider only the case that (2) has infinitely many solutions. We shall consider solutions with  $n$  larger than a certain constant  $N$ , since the finitely many solutions with  $n \leq N$  can be considered as constant power sums. Finally, we can suppose  $\alpha(n)$  not constant.

Let  $F(x, y) = (y - \varphi_1(x)) \cdot \dots \cdot (y - \varphi_d(x))$ , where

$$\varphi_j(x) = \sum_{i=-k_j}^{+\infty} a_{ij} x^{-i/e_j}, \text{ with } a_{-k_j j} \neq 0 \text{ and } 1 \leq e_j \leq d \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, d,$$

are the series of the Puiseux expansion at infinity of  $y$  as function of  $x$  (see Theorem 4.2), i.e.  $\varphi_j(x)$  are the solutions of the equation  $F(x, y) = 0$  in the field of the Puiseux series.

Let us remark that by the Puiseux Theorem the series  $\varphi_j(x)$  exist and the coefficients  $a_{ij}$  generate a finite field extension  $\mathbb{K}$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

We have  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{F(x, y)}{y - \varphi_j(x)}$ , and so

$$(6) \quad F(x, y) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(x, y) \left( \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{1}{y - \varphi_j(x)} \right)^{-1}$$

holds.

From (6) we obtain that for each solution  $(n, y)$  of (2) the inequality

$$\left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(\alpha(n), y) \right| \cdot \left| \sum_{j=1}^d (y - \varphi_j(\alpha(n)))^{-1} \right|^{-1} < \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(\alpha(n), y) \right| \cdot |\alpha(n)|^{-\varepsilon}$$

holds. By (2), we can assume  $\left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(\alpha(n), y) \right| \neq 0$ . It follows that

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^d (y - \varphi_j(\alpha(n)))^{-1} \right| > |\alpha(n)|^\varepsilon$$

holds, and so for all the solutions of (2) we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^d \left| y - \varphi_j(\alpha(n)) \right|^{-1} > |\alpha(n)|^\varepsilon.$$

Let  $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . For  $n$  large enough the inequality  $\sum_{j=1}^d |y - \varphi_j(\alpha(n))|^{-1} > d \cdot$

$|\alpha(n)|^{\varepsilon_1}$  holds, and so for a certain  $j = 1, \dots, d$  we have  $|y - \varphi_j(\alpha(n))|^{-1} > |\alpha(n)|^{\varepsilon_1}$ . This means that for every solution  $(n, y)$  of (2) with  $n$  large enough the inequality

$$(7) \quad |y - \varphi_j(\alpha(n))| < |\alpha(n)|^{-\varepsilon_1}$$

is satisfied for a certain  $j = 1, \dots, d$ , with  $j$  depending on  $n$ .

We shall prove that for given  $j = 1, \dots, d$  there exists a finite set  $\{\beta_1(n), \dots, \beta_t(n)\} \subset \Sigma_{\mathbb{Z}}$  such that every solution  $(n, y)$  of (7) has  $y = \beta_i(n)$  for a certain  $i = 1, \dots, t$ .

Once we prove this, the theorem will follow.

Define a partition  $\{M_1, \dots, M_d\}$  of the solutions  $(n, y)$  of (2) by prescribing that for every  $(n, y) \in M_i$  we have

$$|y - \varphi_i(\alpha(n))| = \min_{1 \leq j \leq d} \{|y - \varphi_j(\alpha(n))|\}.$$

We can consider separately the solutions in each subset  $M_j$ . It will suffice to deal with  $i = 1$ .

Let us write

$$(8) \quad \varphi_1(x) = \sum_{i=-k}^{+\infty} a_i x^{-i/e_1} = a_{-k} x^{k/e_1} + \dots + a_{-1} x^{1/e_1} + a_0 + a_1 x^{-1/e_1} + \dots,$$

for a real determination of  $x^{1/e_1}$ , where  $k = k_1$  and  $a_i = a_{i,1}$  for every  $i \geq -k$ .

Let  $\alpha(n) = \sum_{j=1}^h b_j c_j^n$ , with  $c_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $c_j \neq 1$  for some  $j$  and  $b_j \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$   $\forall j = 1, \dots, h$ . We can suppose  $c_1 > c_2 > \dots > c_h > 0$ .

For  $n$  large enough the series  $\varphi_1(\alpha(n))$  converges, so we can write

$$(9) \quad \varphi_1(\alpha(n)) = \sum_{i=-k}^0 a_i \alpha(n)^{-i/e_1} + O(\alpha(n)^{-1/e_1}).$$

Choosing  $\varepsilon_2 > 0$  smaller than  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $1/e_1$ , for  $n$  large enough each solution of  $|y - \sum_{i=-k}^{+\infty} a_i \alpha(n)^{-i/e_1}| < |\alpha(n)|^{-\varepsilon_1}$  satisfies

$$|y - \sum_{i=-k}^0 a_i \alpha(n)^{-i/e_1}| < |\alpha(n)|^{-\varepsilon_2}.$$

Put

$$(10) \quad \tilde{\varphi}_1(x) = \sum_{i=-k}^0 a_i x^{-i/e_1}.$$

From now on we will consider the inequality

$$(11) \quad |y - \tilde{\varphi}_1(\alpha(n))| < |\alpha(n)|^{-\varepsilon_2}$$

instead of  $|y - \varphi_1(\alpha(n))| < |\alpha(n)|^{-\varepsilon_1}$ .

We can write  $\alpha(n) = b_1 c_1^n (1 + \sigma(n))$ , with  $\sigma(n) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}\Sigma_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , and  $\sigma(n) = O((c_2/c_1)^n)$ .

For every  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$(12) \quad \alpha(n)^{l/e_1} = b_1^{l/e_1} (c_1^n)^{l/e_1} (1 + \sigma(n))^{l/e_1},$$

for a real determination (resp. real positive) of  $b_1^{l/e_1}$  (resp.  $c_1^{l/e_1}$ ). We will fix this determination for the remaining part of the proof.

Expanding the function  $t \mapsto (1 + t)^{l/e_1}$  in Taylor series, we have for every  $l \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(13) \quad (1 + \sigma(n))^{l/e_1} = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^m B_{j,l} \sigma(n)^j + O(|\sigma(n)|^{m+1}),$$



where  $m$  is an integer to be chosen later and  $B_{j,l}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , are the Taylor coefficients  $\binom{l/e_1}{j}$  of the function  $t \mapsto (1+t)^{l/e_1}$ .

From (12) and (13) we obtain

$$(14) \quad \alpha(n)^{l/e_1} = b_1^{l/e_1} c_1^{nl/e_1} \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^m B_{j,l} \sigma(n)^j \right) + O(|\sigma(n)|^{m+1} \cdot c_1^{nl/e_1}).$$

Let us define, for every  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\gamma_l(n) := \sum_{j=1}^m B_{j,l} \sigma(n)^j \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}\Sigma_{\mathbb{Q}}^+.$$

Since (14) holds, we can write

$$(15) \quad \alpha(n)^{l/e_1} = b_1^{l/e_1} c_1^{nl/e_1} (1 + \gamma_l(n)) + O((c_2^n/c_1^n)^{m+1} \cdot c_1^{nl/e_1}).$$

From (10) and (15) we obtain

$$(16) \quad \tilde{\varphi}_1(\alpha(n)) = \sum_{i=-k}^0 \left( a_i (b_1 c_1^n)^{-i/e_1} (1 + \gamma_{-i}(n)) \right) + O((c_2^n/c_1^n)^{m+1} \cdot c_1^{nk/e_1}).$$

Let us write  $n = n_1 e_1 + r$ , with  $0 \leq r < e_1 \leq d$ . We can rewrite (16) as

$$(17) \quad \tilde{\varphi}_1(\alpha(n)) = \sum_{i=-k}^0 \left( a_i (b_1 c_1^r)^{-i/e_1} c_1^{-n_1 i} (1 + \gamma_{-i}(n)) \right) + O((c_2^n/c_1^n)^{m+1} \cdot c_1^{nk/e_1}).$$

Since  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}\Sigma_{\mathbb{Q}}^+$  is a ring, we see that

$$\tau(n) := \sum_{i=-k}^0 \left( a_i (b_1 c_1^r)^{-i/e_1} c_1^{-n_1 i} (1 + \gamma_{-i}(n)) \right)$$

is a power sum with rational positive roots and algebraic coefficients. Moreover, its roots lie in the multiplicative group generated by the real  $e_1$ -th roots (as determined above) of the roots of the power sum  $\alpha(n)$ .

We can write

$$(18) \quad \tilde{\varphi}_1(\alpha(n)) = \tau(n) + O((c_2^n/c_1^n)^{m+1} \cdot c_1^{nk/e_1}).$$

So we have

$$|y - \tilde{\varphi}_1(\alpha(n))| = |y - \tau(n)| + O((c_2^n/c_1^n)^{m+1} \cdot c_1^{nk/e_1}),$$

and from (11) we obtain

$$(19) \quad |y - \tau(n)| < |\alpha(n)|^{-\varepsilon_2} + O((c_2^n/c_1^n)^{m+1} \cdot c_1^{nk/e_1}).$$

Let us notice that for a fixed  $m$  large enough  $(c_2^n/c_1^n)^{m+1} c_1^{nk/e_1} < |\alpha(n)|^{-\varepsilon_2}$  holds for every  $n$  large enough. Choosing a suitable  $m$  large enough, every solution of (19) with  $n$  large enough is also a solution of

$$(20) \quad |y - \tau(n)| < 2|\alpha(n)|^{-\varepsilon_2}.$$

Choosing  $\varepsilon_3 > 0$  small enough,  $2|\alpha(n)|^{-\varepsilon_2} < e^{-n\varepsilon_3}$  holds for  $n$  large enough, since  $|\alpha(n)| \rightarrow +\infty$  for  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  (we are supposing  $\alpha(n)$  not constant).

Thus the inequality (20) implies

$$(21) \quad |y - \tau(n)| < e^{-n\varepsilon_3}.$$

Applying Lemma 4.1 we obtain that every solution of (21), with finitely many exceptions, has  $y = \beta_1(n)$ , where  $\beta_1(n) \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{Z}}^+$ . The roots of the power sum  $\beta_1(n)$  are in the set of the roots of  $\tau(n)$ , and so in the multiplicative group generated by the real  $e_1$ -th roots of the roots of the power sum  $\alpha(n)$ .

Let us notice that the finitely many solutions  $(n, y)$  of (21) such that  $y \neq \beta_1(n)$  can be considered as constant power sums  $\beta_2(n), \dots, \beta_r(n) \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{Z}}^+$  with a single root 1.

This means that for  $j = 1$  every solution  $(n, y)$  of (7) has  $y = \beta_i(n)$  for a certain  $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ , where  $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_t\} \subset \mathbb{Q}\Sigma_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , with  $t \geq r$ .

In a similar way this result can be obtained for  $j = 2, \dots, d$  in (7). So we have that every solution of (2) has  $y = \beta_i(n)$  for a certain  $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ , where  $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s\} \subset \Sigma_{\mathbb{Z}}^+$ , with  $s \geq t$ .

Since each of the Puiseux series  $\varphi_j(x)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, d$ , gives rise to at most  $e_j$  non constant power sums (remember that we chose  $0 \leq r < e_j$  in (17) and that  $e_j \leq d$  for every  $j = 1, \dots, d$ ), the set  $\{\beta_1(n), \dots, \beta_s(n)\}$  contains at most  $d^2$  non constant power sums.

Finally, we note that the roots of the power sums  $\beta_1(n), \dots, \beta_s(n)$  are positive integers lying in the multiplicative group generated by the real  $e$ -th roots, with  $1 \leq e \leq d$ , of the roots of the power sum  $\alpha(n)$ .

This proves the Theorem. □

**Proof of Corollary 3.2** As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we shall consider only solutions  $(n, y)$  of (3) with  $n$  larger than a certain constant  $N$ , since the solutions with  $n \leq N$  are finite in number and can be considered as constant power sums.

Let  $F(x, y) = (y - \varphi_1(x)) \cdot \dots \cdot (y - \varphi_d(x))$ , where

$$\varphi_j(x) = \sum_{i=-k_j}^{+\infty} a_{ij} x^{-i/e_j}, \text{ with } a_{-k_j j} \neq 0 \text{ and } 1 \leq e_j \leq d \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, d,$$

are the series of the Puiseux expansion at infinity of  $y$  as function of  $x$ .

Let  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  to be chosen later. In the proof of Theorem 3.1 we have shown that there exists a finite set of power sums with positive integral roots and

rational coefficients  $\{\beta_1(n), \dots, \beta_t(n)\}$  such that, for every  $j = 1, \dots, d$ , every solution  $(n, y) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}$  of the inequality

$$|y - \varphi_j(\alpha(n))| < |\alpha(n)|^{-\varepsilon_1}$$

has  $y = \beta_i(n)$  for a certain  $i = 1, \dots, t$ . Moreover, the set  $\{\beta_1(n), \dots, \beta_t(n)\}$  contains at most  $d$  non constant power sums.

Let us consider sets  $M_1, \dots, M_d$  of pairs  $(n, y) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}$  such that for every  $(n, y) \in M_i$  we have

$$|y - \varphi_i(\alpha(n))| = \min_{1 \leq j \leq d} \{|y - \varphi_j(\alpha(n))|\}.$$

As before, we can consider separately each set, say  $M_1$ .

For every  $i = 2, \dots, d$ , we have

$$(22) \quad |y - \varphi_i(\alpha(n))| \geq \frac{1}{2} |\varphi_i(\alpha(n)) - \varphi_1(\alpha(n))|.$$

Since the polynomial  $F$  is regular, we can have either that  $k_i/e_i \neq k_1/e_1$ ,  $\forall i = 2, \dots, d$ , or that there exist some  $i \in \{2, \dots, d\}$  such that  $k_i/e_i = k_1/e_1$ , but  $a_{-k_i i} \neq a_{-k_1 1}$ .

If  $k_i/e_i \neq k_1/e_1 \quad \forall i = 2, \dots, d$ , for  $n$  large enough we have

$$\begin{aligned} |y - \varphi_i(\alpha(n))| &\geq \frac{1}{2} |\varphi_1(\alpha(n)) - \varphi_i(\alpha(n))| = \frac{1}{2} |(\varphi_1 - \varphi_i)(\alpha(n))| \\ &> a \cdot |\alpha(n)|^{1/d}, \end{aligned}$$

for a certain positive constant  $a > 0$ .

If there exist some  $i \in \{2, \dots, d\}$  such that  $k_i/e_i = k_1/e_1$ , but  $a_{-k_i i} \neq a_{-k_1 1}$ , since  $k_1 \geq 1$  for these  $i$ , for  $n$  large enough we have

$$\begin{aligned} |y - \varphi_i(\alpha(n))| &> \frac{1}{2} |\varphi_1(\alpha(n)) - \varphi_i(\alpha(n))| \\ &> f \cdot |a_{-k_1 1} \alpha(n)^{k_1/e_1} - a_{-k_i i} \alpha(n)^{k_1/e_1}| \\ &= f \cdot |a_{-k_1 1} - a_{-k_i i}| \cdot |\alpha(n)^{k_1/e_1}| \\ &> g \cdot |\alpha(n)|^{1/e_1} \\ &\geq g \cdot |\alpha(n)|^{1/d}, \end{aligned}$$

for certain positive constants  $f$  and  $g$ .

Therefore, for every  $i = 2, \dots, d$ , the inequality

$$(23) \quad |y - \varphi_i(\alpha(n))| \geq h \cdot |\alpha(n)|^{1/d},$$

holds for a certain constant  $h = \min\{a, g\}$ .

From (23) it follows, with  $b = h^{d-1}$ , that the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |F(\alpha(n), y)| &= |y - \varphi_1(\alpha(n))| \cdot |y - \varphi_2(\alpha(n))| \cdot \dots \cdot |y - \varphi_d(\alpha(n))| \\ &> b \cdot |\alpha(n)^{(d-1)/d-\varepsilon_1}| \\ &= b \cdot |\alpha(n)^{1-\frac{1}{d}-\varepsilon_1}| \\ &= b \cdot |\alpha(n)|^{1-\frac{1}{d}-\varepsilon_1} \end{aligned}$$

holds for all pairs  $(n, y) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}$  with  $n$  large enough and  $y \neq \beta_i(n)$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, t$ .

Choosing  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  small enough we obtain, for  $n$  large enough

$$b \cdot |\alpha(n)|^{1-\frac{1}{d}-\varepsilon_1} > c \cdot |\alpha(n)|^{1-\frac{1}{d}-\varepsilon}.$$

Therefore the inequality

$$|F(\alpha(n), y)| > c \cdot |\alpha(n)|^{1-\frac{1}{d}-\varepsilon}$$

holds for all the pairs  $(n, y) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}$  with  $n$  large enough and  $y \neq \beta_i(n)$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, t$ .

This means that each solution of (3) has  $y = \beta_i(n)$ , for a certain  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , with  $s \geq t$ .

As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we can obtain that the natural numbers  $n$  such that  $(n, y)$  is a solution of the inequality, except finitely many, make up a finite union of arithmetical progressions and that the roots of the power sums  $\beta_1(n), \dots, \beta_s(n)$  are positive integers lying in the multiplicative group generated by the real  $e$ -th roots, with  $1 \leq e \leq d$ , of the roots of the power sum  $\alpha(n)$ .

Since the set  $\{\beta_1(n), \dots, \beta_t(n)\}$  contains at most  $d$  non constant power sums, and since we have  $d$  choices for the set  $M_i$ , the set  $\{\beta_1(n), \dots, \beta_s(n)\}$  contains at most  $d^2$  non constant power sums. □

**Remark 5.1.** From Corollary 3.2 we can derive that if the inequality (3) has infinitely many solutions, then there exists at least one power sum  $\beta(n) \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{Z}}^+$  not constant such that  $(n, \beta(n))$  is a solution. Since  $F(\alpha(n), \beta(n))$  is a power sum, to have infinitely many solutions to (3) the absolute value of the largest root of  $F(\alpha(n), \beta(n))$  must be smaller than  $|c_1|^{1-\frac{1}{d}-\varepsilon}$ , where  $c_1$  is the largest root of  $\alpha$ . This means that to have infinitely many solutions the coefficients of the roots of the power sum  $F(\alpha(n), \beta(n))$  with absolute value larger than  $|c_1|^{1-\frac{1}{d}-\varepsilon}$  must vanish. This condition is easily verifiable in concrete cases with algebraical methods, so it is easy to decide whether the inequality (3), with a particular power sum  $\alpha(n)$ , a particular polynomial  $F$  and a particular value of  $\varepsilon$ , has infinitely many solutions or not.

**Remark 5.2.** If the polynomial  $F$  is not regular, we can get a weaker result than that of Corollary 3.2. Using the same notations of Corollary 3.2, let

$$(24) \quad \bar{d} = \max_{i=1, \dots, d} \{ |\{\varphi_j : k_j/e_j = k_i/e_i \text{ and } a_{k_j j} = a_{k_i i}\}| \}.$$

If  $F$  is not regular we have  $2 \leq \bar{d} \leq d$ . Without losing generality, let  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{\bar{d}}$  be the  $\bar{d}$  Puiseux series such that  $k_1/e_1 = \dots = k_{\bar{d}}/e_{\bar{d}}$  and  $a_{k_1 1} = \dots = a_{k_{\bar{d}} \bar{d}}$ .

As in the proof of Corollary 3.2, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |F(\alpha(n), y)| &= |y - \varphi_1(\alpha(n))| \cdot \dots \cdot |y - \varphi_{\bar{d}}(\alpha(n))| \\ &\quad \cdot |y - \varphi_{\bar{d}+1}(\alpha(n))| \cdot \dots \cdot |y - \varphi_d(\alpha(n))| \\ &> c \cdot |\alpha(n)|^{-\varepsilon_1 \bar{d}} \cdot (|\alpha(n)|^{1/d})^{d-\bar{d}} \\ &= c \cdot |\alpha(n)|^{1-\frac{\bar{d}}{d}-\varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

holds for all the pairs  $(n, y)$  such that  $y \neq \beta_i(n)$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , where  $\{\beta_1(n), \dots, \beta_s(n)\}$  is a finite set of power sums with positive integral roots and rational coefficients.

So for every  $c > 0$  and for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  fixed, every solution  $(n, y) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}$  of the inequality

$$(25) \quad |F(\alpha(n), y)| < c \cdot |\alpha(n)|^{1-\frac{\bar{d}}{d}-\varepsilon}$$

has  $y = \beta_i(n)$ , for a certain  $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ .

Let us notice that if  $\bar{d} \neq d$ , there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $1 - \frac{\bar{d}}{d} - \varepsilon > 0$ .

**Remark 5.3** If, under the notations of Corollary 3.2 and Remark 5.2, we have  $\bar{d} = d$ , with a proper substitution we can reduce the polynomial  $F(x, y)$  to the cases considered above. Indeed, writing the series of the Puiseux expansion of  $F(x, y)$  as

$$\varphi_j(x) = a_{-k} x^{k/e_j} + \dots + a_{-g} x^{g/e_j} + \sum_{i=-g+1}^{+\infty} a_{ij} x^{-i/e_j},$$

with  $j = 1, \dots, d$ , where  $a_{-g}$  is the last common term in every  $\varphi_j(x)$ , we have

$$F(x, y) = \prod_{j=1}^d \left( y - \sum_{i=-k}^{-g} a_i x^{-i/e_j} - \sum_{i=-g+1}^{+\infty} a_{ij} x^{-i/e_j} \right).$$

Applying the substitution

$$y - \sum_{i=-k}^{-g} a_i x^{-i/e_j} \longmapsto z,$$

we obtain a new polynomial  $G(x, z)$  that, for the choice of the substitution, can either be regular, and so we can apply Corollary 3.2, or satisfy the hypothesis of Remark 5.2.

**Proof of Corollary 3.3.** Let  $\bar{d}$  be defined as in (24). We can have that either the inequality

$$(26) \quad |F(\alpha(n), y)| < |\alpha(n)|^{1-\frac{\bar{d}}{d}-\varepsilon},$$

with  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2\bar{d}}$ , has finitely many solutions  $(n, y) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}$  or infinitely many.

If (26) has only finitely many solutions, let us observe that, since  $\alpha(n)$  is not constant, for  $n$  large enough we have

$$2 |f(n)| < |\alpha(n)|^{1-\frac{\bar{d}}{d}-\varepsilon},$$

and so also the inequality  $|F(\alpha(n), y)| < 2 |f(n)|$  has finitely many solutions.

The solutions of  $F(\alpha(n), y) = f(n)$  are contained in the set of solutions of  $|F(\alpha(n), y)| < 2 |f(n)|$ , and so they are only finitely many.

If (26) has infinitely many solutions, from Theorem 3.1 (if  $F(x, y)$  is regular), Remark 5.2 (if  $\bar{d} < d$ ) and Remark 5.3 (if  $\bar{d} = d$ ) we know that they all have  $y = \beta_i(n)$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , where  $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s\}$  is a set of power sums with rational coefficients and positive integral roots.

For every  $i = 1, \dots, s$ ,  $F(\alpha(n), \beta_i(n))$  is a power sum that may be constant.

If for a certain  $i$   $F(\alpha(n), \beta_i(n))$  is constant, we have

$$\frac{F(\alpha(n), \beta_i(n))}{f(n)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

If for a certain  $i$   $F(\alpha(n), \beta_i(n))$  is not constant, we have

$$\left| \frac{F(\alpha(n), \beta_i(n))}{f(n)} \right| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} +\infty.$$

In both cases  $F(\alpha(n), \beta_i(n))$  can not assume the values of  $f(n)$  for infinitely many  $n$ , and so the equation  $F(\alpha(n), y) = f(n)$  has only finitely many solutions. □

**Remark 5.4.** In Corollary 3.3 the assumption that  $|\alpha(n)|$  is not constant is necessary. Consider e.g. the case  $\alpha(n) = 1$ ,  $F(x, y) = y^2 + x$ ,  $f(n) = n^2 + 1$ , that has as solutions the couples  $(n, \pm n)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In all the other statements of the present paper this assumption is not required.

*Acknowledgements.* The results contained in this paper are part of a Master thesis [13] prepared at Università degli Studi di Udine; the author thanks his supervisors Pietro Corvaja and Umberto Zannier for the important help given.

## References

- [1] A. BAKER, *A sharpening of the bounds for linear forms in logarithms II*. Acta Arithmetica **24** (1973), 33–36.
- [2] P. CORVAJA AND U. ZANNIER, *Diophantine Equations with Power Sums and Universal Hilbert Sets*. Indag. Math., N.S. (3) **9** (1998), 317–332.
- [3] P. CORVAJA AND U. ZANNIER, *Some new applications of the Subspace Theorem*. Compositio Math. **131** (2002), 319–340.
- [4] R. DVORNICICH AND U. ZANNIER, *On polynomials taking small values at integral arguments*. Acta Arithmetica (2) **42** (1983), 189–196.
- [5] M. EICHLER, *Introduction to the theory of algebraic numbers and functions*. Academic press, New York and London. (1966).
- [6] J.-H. EVERTSE, *An improvement of the quantitative subspace theorem*. Compositio Math. (3) **101** (1996), 225–311.
- [7] C. FUCHS, *Exponential-Polynomial Equations and Linear Recurrences*. PhD. thesis. Technische Universität Graz (2002).
- [8] K. IWASAWA, *Algebraic functions*. Translations of Mathematical Monographs Vol. **118**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island (1993).
- [9] P. KISS, *Differences of the terms of linear recurrences*. Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. (1-4) **20** (1985), 285–293.
- [10] A. PETHÖ, *Perfect powers in second order linear recurrences*. J. Number Theory **15** (1982), 5–13.
- [11] W. M. SCHMIDT, *Diophantine Approximations and Diophantine Equations*. Lecture Notes in Math. vol. **1467**. Springer-Verlag (1991).
- [12] W. M. SCHMIDT, *Diophantine Approximation*. Lecture Notes in Math. vol. **785**. Springer-Verlag. (1980).
- [13] A. SCREMIN, *Tesi di Laurea "Equazioni e Disequazioni Diofantee Esponenziali"*. Università degli Studi di Udine (2001).
- [14] T. N. SHOREY AND C. L. STEWART, *Pure powers in Recurrence Sequences and Some Related Diophantine Equations*. J. Number Theory **27** (1987), 324–352.

Amedeo SCREMIN

Institut für Mathematik A

Technische Universität Graz

Steyrergasse 30 A-8010 Graz, Austria

*E-mail*: scremin@finanz.math.tu-graz.ac.at