# JOURNAL DE THÉORIE DES NOMBRES DE BORDEAUX

# JAVIER CILLERUELO JORGE JIMÉNEZ-URROZ

The hyperbola xy = N

Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux, tome 12, n° 1 (2000), p. 87-92

<a href="http://www.numdam.org/item?id=JTNB\_2000\_\_12\_1\_87\_0">http://www.numdam.org/item?id=JTNB\_2000\_\_12\_1\_87\_0</a>

© Université Bordeaux 1, 2000, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux » (http://jtnb.cedram.org/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.



Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

## The hyperbola xy = N

par JAVIER CILLERUELO et JORGE JIMÉNEZ-URROZ

RÉSUMÉ. On montre plusieurs résultats à propos de la longueur minimale d'un arc de l'hyperbole xy = N contenant k points entiers.

ABSTRACT We include several results providing bounds for an interval on the hyperbola xy = N containing k lattice points.

#### 1. Introduction

Consider the hyperbola xy = N, for  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We are interested in finding bounds for the length of an arc of the hyperbola having certain number of lattice points. Clearly, any lattice point on xy = N gives a divisor of N. We will use this arithmetic interpretation to get the results.

In [2] the authors proved a lower bound, depending on the curvature. Namely

**Theorem.** On the hyperbola xy = N there are at most k lattice points  $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_k, y_k)$  such that  $N^{\gamma} \leq x_1 < \dots < x_k$  and  $x_k - x_1 \leq N^{E_k(\gamma)}$ . where

$$E_k(\gamma) = rac{[k\gamma](2k\gamma - [k\gamma] - 1)}{k(k-1)}.$$

In [3] the authors proved that this lower bound is best possible whenever  $1/k < \gamma \le 1/(k-1)$ . However, it does not seems to be the case for other  $\gamma$ . Here we include some results which tell us that in general  $E_k(\gamma)$  is far from the real bound.

For k=2,3 and any  $\gamma$  we found in [3] examples as good as possible with polynomial growth, in arcs of length  $N^{E_k(\gamma)}$ . For k=4 we already proved there that it is not possible to find such kind of examples. However in this case we still can get four points in an arc of length  $E_4(1/2)$ . In other words, let us fix an integer k and  $0 < \gamma < 1$ . We define

$$\varepsilon_k(\gamma) = \liminf \{ \varepsilon \mid N^{\gamma} \ll a_1 < \dots < a_k = a_1 + N^{\varepsilon} \},$$

where  $a_i|N$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , i.e. the minimum  $\varepsilon$  such that for infinitely many N there exist k lattice points  $(a_i, b_i)$ ,  $a_i \approx N^{\gamma}$ , on an arc of length  $N^{\varepsilon}$  of the hyperbola xy = N. We can prove

Theorem 1.  $\varepsilon_4(1/2) = E_4(1/2)$ .

We give an example which grows exponentially.

For other  $\gamma$  we can give partial results by a convexity theorem

**Theorem 2.** There exists  $g_{k,\gamma} \in (1/k,\gamma)$  such that, for any  $1/k < g < g_{k,\gamma}$  we have

$$\varepsilon_k(g)/(kg-1) \le \varepsilon_k(\gamma)/(k\gamma-1)$$

This result, and the symmetry restricts our interest to  $\gamma=1/2$ . By generalizing Theorem 1 we get

**Theorem 3.** There exist a constant c such that

$$\varepsilon_k(1/2) < 1/2 - c/\log k.$$

This theorem should be compared with the trivial  $\varepsilon_k(1/2) < 1/2 - c/k^{1/2}$ . Even in  $\gamma = 1/2$ , the exact center of the hyperbola  $(\sqrt{N}, \sqrt{N})$ , turns out to be of special interest. In this particular case, it is more convenient to reformulate the problem as follows:

**Question.** Given  $\alpha < 1/2$ , how many lattice points (x, y) of the hyperbola xy = N verify  $N^{1/2} < x < N^{1/2} + N^{\alpha}$ ?

By a geometric argument we see that for two lattice points we already need  $\alpha \geq 1/4$ . This is notably bigger than our best exponent  $E_4(1/2) = 1/6$ .

There is a conjecture apparently of I. Ruzsa which goes even further:

Conjecture. For all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an integer k such that only for a finite number of values of N there can be more than k lattice points on xy = N verifying

$$N^{1/2} \le x \le N^{1/2} + N^{1/2 - \varepsilon}.$$

We prove that the conjecture is true on average, in the following sense; Let us define

$$d_{\alpha}(n) = \#\{(a,b)\,|\, a,b \in I,\ ab = n\},$$

for  $I = (N, N + N^{\alpha}].$ 

**Theorem 4.** Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$  fixed. Then

$$\sum d_{lpha}^{2}(n)=2N^{2lpha}+O\left(N^{3lpha-1}\log N
ight)+O\left(N^{lpha}
ight).$$

Theorem 4 was suggested by a similar result about points on circles studied in [1].

We will use f(x) = O(g(x)) in the standard way, and  $f(x) \approx g(x)$  will mean both f(x) = O(g(x)) and g(x) = O(f(x)),  $[a_1, \dots, a_k]$  and  $(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  will be the least common multiple and greatest common divisor of  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  respectively.

### 2. Proof of Theorems

Let us consider k=2 for a moment. The least common multiple, M, of two integers  $a_1, a_2$  with greatest common divisor  $(a_1, a_2) = d$  verify

$$M = a_1 a_2/d \geq a_1 a_2/(a_1 - a_2),$$

and equality happens only when  $d = a_1 - a_2$ . In order to find good bounds for the length, in terms of the least common multiple, we need to find integers so that their differences will be comparable with their greatest common divisors.

**Proof of Theorems 1 and 3.** For k=4, consider  $p_n/q_n$  the convergents of  $\sqrt{5}$  in its continuos fraction. Let

$$a_1 = p_m p_{m+2} q_{m+1}$$
 $a_2 = p_{m+1} p_{m+2} q_m$ 
 $a_3 = p_m p_{m+1} q_{m+2}$ 
 $a_4 = 5q_m q_{m+1} q_{m+2}$ .

It is well known that for i fixed we have

$$\left| rac{p_{m+i}}{q_{m+i}} - \sqrt{5} 
ight| = O\left(rac{1}{q_m^2}
ight).$$

Hence, for any fixed  $i, j, p_{m+i} \approx q_{m+i} \approx p_m$  and

$$p_{m+i}q_{m+j} - p_{m+j}q_{m+i} \le C,$$
  
 $p_{m+i}p_{m+j} - 5q_{m+i}q_{m+j} \le C.$ 

We deduce then that numerators and denominators of close convergents are almost coprimes and so, for  $1 \le i < j \le 4$   $(a_i, a_j) \approx |a_1 - a_j|$ . Moreover  $M \approx \prod_i p_{m+i} q_{m+i} \approx p_m^6$ , and  $a_i \approx p_m^3$ , which give us the result since  $E_4(1/2) = 1/6$  and  $\varepsilon_k(\gamma) \ge E_k(\gamma)$  by definition.

In general, to prove Theorem 3 we construct our integers as follows; Let I, J a disjoint partition of  $\{1, 2, \dots, 2l\}$  such that |I| = 2n, we call

$$a_0 = \prod_{i=1}^{2l} p_{m+i},$$

and

$$a_I = 5^n \prod_{j \in J} p_{m+j} \prod_{i \in I} q_{m+i}.$$

We have  $k = \sum_{n=0}^{l} {2l \choose 2n} = 4^l/2$  integers. Moreover, if  $I' \subset I$  and  $|I \setminus I'| = 2$  then

$$\frac{a_I - a_{I'}}{a_{I'}} = \frac{a_I}{a_{I'}} - 1 = \frac{5q_{m+i}q_{m+j}}{p_{m+i}p_{m+j}} - 1 = O\left(\frac{1}{p_m^2}\right).$$

Hence  $|a_I - a_{I'}| \ll p_m^{2l-2}$ , and by the triangle inequality we get  $|a_I - a_0| \ll lp_m^{2l-2}$ , and so  $|a_{I_1} - a_{I_2}| \ll lp_m^{2l-2}$  for any  $I_1, I_2$  as above.

Furthermore, the least common multiple M of these k integers verifies

$$M symp \prod_{i=1}^{2l} p_{m+i} q_{m+i} symp p_m^{4l},$$

hence,  $L \leq CM^{1/2-1/2l}$  for some constant depending on l which proves the thoeorem, since  $l \leq c \log k$ .

**Proof of Theorem 2.** By hypothesis, we know that there exist infinitely many integers M and  $a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_k$  such that  $[a_1, \cdots, a_k] = M$ ,  $M^{\gamma} \ll a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_k$  and  $a_k - a_1 \ll M^{\varepsilon_k(\gamma) + o(1)}$ .

Consider the integers  $A_i = a_i + TMD$  where  $D = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (a_j - a_i)/(a_j, a_i),$ 

and T is an integer  $T \simeq M^{\beta-1}/D$  for  $\beta = g(k\gamma - 1)/(kg - 1)$ .

This selection is possible whenever  $M^{\beta-1}/D \geq 1$ . However since  $D \leq M^{\delta}$  for some fix  $\delta$  independent of M, we can find such T whenever  $\beta-1-\delta \geq 0$ , in other words  $1/k < g \leq (1+\delta)/(k(1+\delta)-k\gamma+1) = g_{k,\gamma} < \gamma$  since  $1/k < \gamma < 1$ .

Clearly  $(A_i, A_j) = (a_i, a_j)$  and so

$$\frac{\prod A_i}{[A_1,\cdots,A_k]} = \frac{\prod a_i}{[a_1,\cdots,a_k]} \approx M^{k\gamma-1}.$$

Moreover  $A_i \times TMD \times M^{\beta}$ . Hence,

$$N=[A_1,\cdots,A_k]symp rac{\prod A_i}{M^{k\gamma-1}}symp rac{A_1^k}{A_1^{(k\gamma-1)/eta}}=A_1^{1/g},$$

and on the other hand  $A_k - A_1 = a_k - a_1 \ll M^{\epsilon_k(\gamma) + o(1)} \approx N^{g\epsilon_k(\gamma)/\beta + o(1)}$ , which give us the result.

**Remark 1.** In order to get a better value of  $g_{k,\gamma}$  we have to minimize D, being the best possible  $g_{k,\gamma} = 1/(k - k\gamma + 1)$  when the differences are comparable with the greatest common divisors or, in other words when  $D \leq C$  for some constant C. In Theorem 1 we have  $(a_i, a_j) \approx |a_i - a_j|$ , hence  $D \leq C$  for some constant C and so

$$\varepsilon_4(g) < \varepsilon_4(1/2)(4g-1) = (4g-1)/6,$$

in the range 1/4 < g < 1/3, and this is the best result since  $E_4(g) = (4g - 1/6)$  in this range. As we mentioned this fact is already proved in [3].

Proof of Theorem 4. First of all we note that

(2.1) 
$$\sum d_{\alpha}(n) = N^{2\alpha} + O(N^{\alpha}),$$

since this sum counts exactly the lattice points in the square  $Q = I \times I$ .

We will say that the lattice points (a, b), (b, a) are symmetric, and we will call two lattice points on xy = n onto Q non-symmetric representations of n if they are not symmetric. Define

$$S(n) = \{\text{non-symmetric representations of } n\}.$$

Suppose we have  $d_{\alpha}(n) > 2$ . Then, there exist at least two elements of S(n), say ab = n = cd where  $a, b, c, d \in I$  and  $a \neq c, d$ .

Let us consider  $m_1=(a,c)$  and write  $a=m_1l_1, c=m_1l_2$ . Then there is an integer  $m_2$  such that  $b=m_2l_2$  and  $d=m_2l_1$ . Now,  $a,c\in I$  so  $m_1\leq |c-a|\leq N^{\alpha}$ . Hence, if we call

$$R = \{(m_1, m_2, l_1, l_2) \mid m_i l_i \in I, \text{ for } i, j \in \{1, 2\}, 0 \le m_1 \le N^{\alpha}\}$$

then

$$\sum |S(n)| \leq |R|.$$

We now give an upper bound for |R|. We define a dilation of the interval I by  $DI = (DN, D(N + N^{\alpha})]$ . Then

$$|R| \leq \sum_{0 \leq m_1 \leq N^{lpha}} \sum_{l_1 \in m_1^{-1}I} \sum_{m_2 \in l_1^{-1}I} \sum_{l_2 \in m_2^{-1}I} 1 \ll N^{3lpha-1} \log N,$$

since  $m_1, m_2, l_1, l_2 < N^{\alpha}$  and

$$\sum_{m \in DI} m^k \ll D^{k+1} N^{\alpha+k},$$

for any  $D \leq N^{\alpha}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Suppose  $d_{\alpha}(n) = j$ . Then, |S(n)| = j(j-2)/4 if j is even and  $|S(n)| = (j-1)^2/4$  if j is odd and in any case for  $j \geq 3$  we have  $|S(n)| \geq cj^2 = cd_{\alpha}^2(n)$  for some constant c. If we define  $C_j = \{n \mid d_{\alpha}(n) = j\}$ , then

$$(2.2) \sum d_{\alpha}^2(n) = \sum j^2 |C_j|,$$

and we have just seen that

(2.3) 
$$\sum_{i>3} d_{\alpha}^2(n) \leq \sum |S(n)| \leq |R|.$$

On the other hand  $C_1 = O(N^{\alpha})$  since it counts the integers with only one representation in the diagonal, and by (2.1) and (2.3) we have

$$N^{2lpha}+O\left(N^{lpha}
ight)=C_{1}+2C_{2}+\sum_{j\geq3}jC_{j}=2C_{2}+O\left(N^{3lpha-1}\log N
ight)+O\left(N^{lpha}
ight).$$

Hence

$$C_2 = \frac{1}{2}N^{2\alpha} + O\left(N^{3\alpha-1}\log N\right) + O\left(N^{\alpha}\right),\,$$

which give us the result in Theorem 4 by 2.2.

**Remark 2.** Theorem 4 cannot be extended to  $\alpha = 1$ . This is the analogous to  $\varepsilon = 0$  in the conjecture of Ruzsa, which is trivially false in this case.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Cilleruelo, A. Córdoba, Trigonometric polynomials and lattice points. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 115 (1992), 899-905.
- [2] J. Cilleruelo, J. Jiménez-Urroz, Divisors in a Dedekind domain. Acta. Arith. 85 (1998), 229-233.
- [3] A. Granville, J. Jiménez-Urroz, The least common multiple and lattice points on hyperbolas. To appear in Quart. J. Math.
- [4] G. H. Hardy, E. M. Wright, Introduction to the theory of numbers. Clarendon Press. 4th ed., Oxford, 1960.

Javier Cilleruelo, Jorge Jiménez-Urroz Departamento de Matemáticas, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, 28049 Madrid, ESPAÑA.

E-mail: franciscojavier.cilleruelo@uam.es jorge.jimenez@uam.es